International Journal of Law
www.lawjournals.org
E-ISSN: 2455-2194
Received: 20-09-2026, Accepted: 20-10-2026, Published: 04-11-2026
Volume 12, Issue 4, 2026, Page No. 126-131

Conditioning labour migration in the age of globalisation

Anirban Chanda, Ronita Halder
Department of Law, The West Bengal National University of Juridical Sciences, Kolkata, India
DOI: https://doi.org/10.66856/ijl.2026.12.4.12349

Abstract
This article explores the governance of labor migration in an era of global interdependence. The overview addresses the national legislation and the international regime. It addresses the following two questions: what are the implications of divergent national policies for the economic and social conditions in both origin and destination countries, and for the role of international regimes in influencing national policies and acting as necessary means of extending protection to migrant workers. By comparing national systems, drawing on economic evidence and delineating policy assemblages these contributions illustrate how different regimes of rights produce different policy outcomes. It further recommends enhancing international cooperation for a better match between labour demand and supply in a manner that would guarantee the safety of migrant workers.

Keywords: Labor migration, Globalization, regulatory frameworks, international governance, economic integration, migrant rights

Introduction

Background and Context: In the context of a globalized world, labour migration has become one of the keys, and most disputed, elements of international mobility. International labour Mobility as a Feature of Globalization has led to international cross-border labour movement, which has in turn begun to re-shape national and regional economies and policies [1]. Now there are more than 169 million people labouring in the world, in situations varying from the bearable to the utterly unbearable [2]. In this time, money, products, and services can move easily from one place to another. But labour, probably the most human part of production still comes across a lot of rules and political debate. The difficulty of managing this movement comes from balancing the need for economic growth with the duty to take care of people's well-being.

The management of labor migration straddles various policy domains, such as the economic sphere, security, human rights and social cohesion [3]. National governments face the difficult task of formulating policies that meet their own labor market needs, protect their domestic workforces, treat migrants humanely, maintain border security, and adhere to international standards. Because of these contradictory aims, states have implemented diverse policies, ranging from more open and rights-based systems to more closed and security- oriented systems [4].

The era of globalization has intensified these problems and introduced new ones for countries to work together on the international stage. With tied economies, individuals traveling for work between nations, and big multinationals, labor is a critical factor for the nation's competitiveness, and in the evolution of its economy. And yet fears about declining wages, the challenge of integrating into new societies, and the desire to retain a strong national identity, have also meant that many immigration-receiving states have turned against migration. Back home, toil was made more difficult by the "brain drain" — the exodus of skilled labor — and the tension of divided families; and by finding practical ways to use remittance money to help their lands.

Research Questions and Objectives

This study is concerned with the question of how labour migration is governed in the globalised world today. It examines the various national approaches to managing migration and the place of international rules and systems. This inquiry answers two very basic questions:

a) How are the sending and receiving countries' economies and societies affected by the variance between each national model of labour migration regulation at the national level?

2) How do (or should) mechanisms of international governace affect the domestic policies of labour migration and what are the potential implications for a better protection of conduit migrant workers (yet not at the cost of labor market needs)?

Significance and Rationale

There are several major reasons why it matters so much to understand how and why various laws and policies operate. For one, global labour migration is anticipated to rise owing to drivers such as shifting population demographics, climate change, and economic disparities, and it is thus more crucial than ever before that policies are grounded in evidence from the real world. Second, the COVID-19 pandemic revealed the vulnerability of some systems, and how rules might protect — or betray — those who journey for work in even more unstable times. Third, with so many countries now deeply divided on the question of migration, there is ⌕an even greater⌕ need for research to guide more humane and effective policies.

This study is a novel contribution to the literature as it draws on economics, political science, sociology and law and is relevant to practice, particularly for governments and transnational bodies.

Literature Review and Theoretical Background

1. Theoretical Approaches to Labour Migration Regulation

Several theories help explain how labour migration is managed.

- Theory of neoclassical economics [5] he conceives migration as a flow of individuals who move because they can earn higher wages elsewhere or there is a shortage of labor in a region. In this analysis, the best way to manage migration flows is to let labor flow to the areas of greatest demand. That perspective highlights the economic benefits of migration, but typically does not encompass the social and political dimensions.
- Dual labour market theory - The model of dual labour theory was developed by Michael Piore and other scholars like fei xen tang in explaining 'why migration continues or why persistent occupational niches for migrants maintain beyond the most restrictive skilling or deskilling concept'. These are jobs that most people in these countries shy away from. It also posits that the rules and policies should consider that the labour market is segmented and that some labour migrations, even if tagged temporary, can be quite long-term.
- The World Systems Theory and the Dependency Theory [6] consider labor migration a result of global capital, as a process which contributes to the reproduction of inequalities between the global rich and poor. These perspectives maintain that existing migration regulations and policies are designed to benefit powerful nation-states and multinational corporations, rather than protecting the rights and welfare of migrants or advancing equitable development.
- Rights-based approaches [7] This raft of [laws and] practice was said to be fairly firmly rooted in international human rights standards and in an acknowledgment of the basic dignity of each person, regardless ofWhether they were migrating or not. This trend of compromise with international human rights law aims at adopting rules and policies designed to protect migrants, maintain families intact and promote due process without discrimination, even if this could potentially clash with certain national sovereignty claims.
- New institutionalism [8] displays the way in which migration policies are shaped and implemented by institutions, norms and historical trajectories. This perspective accounts for the fact that different regions can be in similar stages of economic development, yet posses very different sets of rules.

2. Economic Impacts: What We Know So Far

- Much of the literature on the economics of labour migration and remittances is concerned with how this is affected by particular sets of rules and regulations. Migration is a net positive for a host country's economy, found researchers, largely because migrants add to the labor force, contribute to consumer spending, create more businesses and fuel innovation. But how much of that goes back to the benefits varies a lot by how those rules are designed**.** Economists like Giovanni Peri [9] They further argue that the negative labour market effects of migration on the native population in countries with liberal welfare state and labour market institution arrangements are not significant and, if anything, migration seems to have a positive effect on native productivity. But in a world of divided labor markets and little to no enforcement of many labor protections, certain rules can also lead to lower wages in some industries.
- As for the countries from which people flee, the effect is less clear. Remittances – money sent home – are a significant portion of their economy and more than official aid. But whether that money from migration is invested in development is a matter of what it's invested in, and how much the rules let people come and go, bringing skills, or for people to come and go, bringing skills, or if they end up staying away permanently, resulting in a brain drain.

3. Social Integration: Reform and Development Directives

- The social integration literature deals with the influence of rules and regulations on how migrants are incorporated into the society they move to. Research shows that there are a number of essential aspects: economic integration, which process of seeking and finding a job; social integration, that encompasses establishing interpersonal relation-ships and engaging in social and community matters; cultural integration, including learning the host country language and getting information about the new country values and cultural norms; and political integration, in which one fully partakes in the rights and responsibilities of the community. These studies indicate that integration is strongly influenced by policy among migrants. Cities with clear pathways to permanent residence or citizenship tend to rank higher on long-term integration than those where migrants spend protracted periods in temporary status. Family reunification, learning the language of the host country, having one's work experience recognized and receiving protection against discrimination also facilitate integration.
- Yet, studies also suggest that attitudes towards migrants in the host society may be influenced by the way's rules are framed. When immigrants are viewed as temporary laborers with father rights, they can be marginalized and their inclusion as full members of society is impeded by signs of second-class citizenship. On the other hand, ifthe rules treat migrants as future members of the community, this can help people accept them, but it might cause problems if there isn't enough support for integration or if the public isn't well-informed.

4. International Governing Mechanisms

International migration is managed by the International Organisation of Migration at different levels and through the various facets of the individual systems. The International Labour Organization has been instrumental in the development of widely accepted conventions, including C97 and C143… [10], which set out the norms for the treatment of migrant workers. However, not so many States have ratified those conventions. In the margins, a more comprehensive approach to collaborate on safe and orderly migration, the UN Global Compact on Migration, non-binding, was agreed. Under regional instruments, there are more well-developed regimes (e.g. ECCAS, ECOWAS arrangements; the EU's rules on freedom of movement) [11], and the bilateral labor agreements. Such arrangements tend to be more robust, yet research suggests that their implementation may vary across contexts.

There is still debate among experts as to whether international law really limits what countries can do on their own, or if it just provides a more defensible rationale for things they want to do anyway. Talk is under way about the true efficacy of these various international initiatives aimed at safeguarding the rights of migrants. Some say there still a big gap between the spirit and the letter of these rules and that the rules aren't backed by enough muscle.

Research Methodology

1. Research Design

This investigation is descriptive-causal research. This study uses comparative analyses of different approaches adopted by states, analysis of specific cases and, wherever possible, use of statistical data. Using different research methods helps find general trends across many countries and also understand the unique details of each country's rules and regulations. The advantages of methodological pluralism for the comparative analysis are that general trends can be found among various countries and at the same time, specific apects of national rules and regulations can be identified. The comparison illustrates that different countries construct their rules and orders and, thus, their social contexts along distinct lines, which are the result of findings of earlier research recently emerged in Europe. That helps in establishing the relationships between rule design and rule outcomes.

- Each and every case is developed: It considers the rules of a country and their evolution as the level of analysis. The countries chosen for the study bear notable differences, to the extent of e.g. economic development, geographical location and kind of rules.

2. Case Selection

- This cross-regulatory systems research is carried out in eight countries representing six different approaches to labour migration
- Canada has established a points-based system [12] that is relatively open, providing clear routes to permanent residence and concentrating on economic migration and assisting new arrivals to integrate.
- Singapore operates a very selective, tiered system [13] this differentiation accounts for much of the difference between high skilled and low skilled labor, the latter being subject to more severe controls and fewer rights.
- Germany long operated under a guestworker system but has since transformed it [14] toward a more long-lasting immigration system, illustrating how policies can evolve and the difficulties of assimilating newcomers into the community.
- The kafala system is employed in the United Arab Emirates (UAE) [15], common in Gulf countries, where migrant workers' legal status depends on their employer, which raises serious concerns about their rights.
- The Philippines is an important example of an origin country, with detailed rules and support for overseas workers, including training before they leave and help once they arrive.
- Mexico shows how both people leave and come to the country, especially from Central America, highlighting how migration works between different regions.
- South Africa is an African destination with complex migration patterns and issues like economic inequality.
- The Philippines is a key case of a country of origin, and it has at least some elaborate rules and infrastructure for its workers going abroad that includes training before they leave and assistance once they arrive.
- Mexico illustrates how people both exit and enter the country, particularly from Central America, revealing how migration is regional, not continental.
- South Africa is also an African destination in which the flow of migrants complexifies the issue of economic inequality.
- Sweden embodies a recent Nordic model focusing on rights and integration which has been tempered in certain respects.

Findings and analysis

1. Research question 1: consequences of different national regulatory strategies?

Economic Pattern of Integration The national regulatory regimes resulted in disparate economic results. The Canadian system for recognizing credentials and for economic immigration is more targeted, and thus results in less wasted skills as migrants are more likely to find jobs related to their training. But Sweden also provides very robust aid to refugees and has long waiting times in helping them to find appropriate work. The systematic approach in Singapore works well in placing workers in jobs, but it limits the range of occupational choices, especially for the low-skilled holder. Wages implications signal what justice in law can be. Universal worker rights protections exist in districts such as Canada and Germany, and there is not nearly as much wage deflation. But under regimes that constrain workers' movement and work, as with the kafala system in the United Arab Emirates, wages remain near the bottom and abuses are not punished where migrant labor is most concentrated. "Rules of the game" also regulate how novelty and innovation are produced. Countries that make it easier to settle long-term and have clearer legal rules seem to have more startups and business activity from migrants. For example, Canada's startup visa and Germany's changes to their entrepreneur visa regulations show how good policymaking can turn migration into a source of innovation. That said, there are some convoluted steps and requisites that could complicate things.

Social Integration of Justice The best indication that a person is socially embedded is the security of his or her legal status. The clear and transparent system of permanent residence application in Canada helps in enhancing the economic, social and cultural integration of people in Canada. In contrast, where systems generate "permanent temporariness" (such as in a number of Gulf states), do long-term sojourners simply submit to institutionalized liminality or become trapped into endless transience. This raises some interesting questions about whether it's right, and if people actually belong there.

family reunification, an important component of integration into a new country. The fate of guest workers in Germany demonstrated that those who were denied the right to bring their families had a harder time integrating than those who came later and were allowed reunification.

The expansion of civil rights provides an example of how policy may be used to influence social justice. In the two-tier society that rights allocation has created in Singapore, the high-skilled are seamlessly integrated with the low-skilled kept at arm's length. By contrast, most services in

Canada are provided without differentiation between immigrants and non-immigrants, allowing individuals to coexist with the community and fostering a more just and humane conceptualization of law. But the doctrine has been challenged recently in other provinces.

Research Question 2
International Governance Mechanism; The Other Side OF Justice

1. Economic Dimensions
The Philippines illustrates how a country can capture the value of cash sent home by migrant workers through home-grown institutions like remittance-based support schemes and financial products. But even this fairly positive model is vulnerable to mass divestment: much of the money sent home is used to buy itself out of poverty rather than to invest in the country. This limits how much of a positive effect the money has on development.
Mexico's situation shows how brain drain can be worse than brain circulation. When countries set up systems that encourage people to settle permanently without supporting their return or ongoing connections abroad, brain drain becomes the main issue. This is unfair for the home countries, which spend resources on education only to lose trained workers forever.
Large numbers of people leaving the country can mess up the local job market. For example, the Philippines has trouble finding enough nurses and caregivers [16] because so many leave for work abroad. To fix this, the country has started training more people to meet both local and international needs. This raises concerns about education systems that are focused more on sending people abroad than on helping their own country develop.

Social Cost
The extended separation of families leads to gross social problems. The Philippines faces unique difficulties because children are often left behind when their parents work abroad. Although rules and programs aim to help by providing education and support, there is still a big conflict between the need for money and keeping families together. This is a fairness issue that is quite common in almost every country.
Gender dynamics complicate migration patterns. When certain migration paths, especially those involving domestic care work, are dominated by women, it shows how laws and job markets are structured differently for men and women. This can offer economic benefits for women in places like Philippines and Sri Lanka. However, it also leads to challenges such as family problems and increased risk of abuse, especially when the countries people move to, don't have strong enough protections in place.

Existing Frameworks and Their Limitations
The ILO conventions on labour of migrants do contain certain good standards but these are poorly observed. Convention 97, which concerns the migration for employment, has been ratified by just 49 countries, and Convention 134 - which supplement it - by 23. Some major destinations for migrants, like the United States, Canada, the United Kingdom and the Gulf states, have not become parties to these instruments. This leaves a huge disparity in treatment and regulation of these issues.

The United Nations (UN) International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families (ICRMW) offers a wide array of rights protection; nevertheless, the convention has a low number of ratifications, only 58 states have signed it. [17], mostly those from which migrants come. None of the major developed countries that usually receive migrants has signed the agreement, which greatly reduces its real-world effect. This shows a bigger issue of fairness: the people who need protection the most are the ones with the least ability to make sure they get it.
The 2018 UN Global Compact on Safe, Orderly and Regular Migration [18] is a new higher form of engagement. It's not legally binding — and that means it doesn't have to be signed or ratified by countries, which theoretically makes it easier to win broad-based support. But it has no way to actually enforce that countries follow its rules — it relies on the concept that nations should voluntarily obey the guidelines and that they will create a system of mutual accountability through respect and cooperation.
Regional systems are better at making rules stick. The EU's free-movement system is by far the most developed regional system, but some political problems are bubbling to the surface as member states close movement. ECOWAS is no different, as it is a community which has provision for free movement but to translate such should be a difficult task.
What are the pathways to justice? Implications for policy and practice

For Destination Countries: Rights–Based Approaches
Clarity and Security under the Law: Instead of holding workers in a state of permanent temporariness, countries should provide well-defined routes for them to obtain permanent residency. Status [19]. But if dinausuckerholtse become long term and they truly want to be a part of community they should be given the legal status and support for them to tan effectively in society. It addresses the long-term problem of temp workers who have been here for a long time without any real status or rights. If so, here Are the Basics You Should Knows.

Rights: Rights: Define a minimum set of essential rights – such as labor rights, access to justice, emergency medical care and basic protections – that all workers within its borders are entitled to, regardless of their immigration status. This Approach ensure that the rights of migrant labour are protected so that its exploitation is not taken as the way life and that the driver down wages standards is not allowed to continue either – this expresses workers justice question which goes beyond immigration itself.

Worker Mobility: Don't tie workers' legal status to a single employer. While there may be a need to have special visas that relate to specific industries, providing for some movement within sectors can help disrupt abuse, and provide for a more functional labor market and mitigating power imbalances in the place of work.

For Origin Countries: Protective Frameworks
Comprehensive Emigration Systems: Create systems that include training before leaving, help with adjusting to the new country, services for sending money back home, and

programs to support coming back and rejoining the community. But avoid focusing on people leaving the country.

Bilateral Negotiations: Work closely to make deals between countries that offer stronger protection for your citizens. Even though one country might have more power and less flexibility, working together with other countries in the same situation can help improve your position in these discussions.

Addressing Root Cause: Even though labor migration offers important chances, it's still very important to tackle the main reasons people move for economic motivations by building up in their countries of origin. Over-reliance on migration can cause problems, as the pandemic has demonstrated [20].

For International Governance: Building Incrementally
Implementation Over Innovation: Instead of creating new broad frameworks that may not get much support, concentrate on putting current tools into practice and making them more effective. Back the ILO's monitoring systems, encourage key destination countries to adopt the ICRMW, and make sure the Global Compact's goals lead to real actions.

Regional Building Rocks: Supporting the framework of the particular region as parts of the bigger picture for global governance. Regional economic integration encourages the development of labour mobility frameworks, as seen in Europe.

Issue-Based Agreements: Work on international agreements on certain issues where countries can agree; how to hire people and set fees, helping people return home in emergencies, moving social security benefits across borders, recognizing qualifications and degrees, and setting rules for bringing family members to live together.

Multi-Stakeholder Engagements: Make sure that migrant workers, trade unions, civil society groups, and private sector players are genuinely involved in decision-making processes. Right now, many methods leave out the people most affected, creating a fundamental fairness issue.

Conclusion

Reimagining Justice in a Mobile World

Labour Migration in today's globalized world deeply questions old ideas about justice that are tied to national borders. This analysis shows that how rules are designed is really important: systems that offer legal safety, clear protections, and ways to settle permanently lead to better results in areas like economic connection, social unity, and protection.

But these kinds of systems face big political problems in places where migration is a hot topic. On the other hand, strict systems that focus on temporary migration and limited rights create unstable and unfair conditions. This leads to exploitation and makes it hard for migrants to fit in, which causes long-term social issues that end up hurting the supposed benefits of temporary stays.

A key challenge in how international rules function is the imbalance of power between countries. Countries where people come from do not have good ways to protect their citizens who are working abroad, while countries where people go to resist that limit their control or require strong rights. This inequality keeps unfairness alive.

Looking ahead, it's important to understand that protecting migrant rights actually helps, not hurts, security and economic growth. Exploiting workers creates security risks, while giving them rights helps build cooperation and integration. To get more support for systems that value rights, we need to change how we talk about managing migration in light of this idea.

In the end, justice in a world that's becoming more connected means expanding our sense of moral responsibility beyond national borders, while still respecting the right of countries to control who enters therein. This means creating rules that see migrants as people with rights, not just as part of the economy, and systems that can hold powerful countries accountable for how they treat workers. Only through this kind of approach can we build migration systems that match our hopes for a fairer world.

Reference

1. International Organization for Migration. World Migration Report 2022 (IOM, 2022), documenting the acceleration of cross-border labor mobility in the context of economic globalization.
2. International Labour Organization. "ILO Global Estimates on International Migrant Workers – Results and Methodology" (3rd ed., 2021
3. Martin P, Abella M, Kuptsch C. Managing Labor Migration in the Twenty-first Century (Yale University Press, 2006), analyzing the multi-dimensional policy challenges of contemporary labor migration governance.
4. Ruhs M. The Price of Rights: Regulating International Labor Migration (Princeton University Press, 2013), examining the trade-offs between openness and rights in migration policy design.
5. See generally Todaro MP. "A Model of Labor Migration and Urban Unemployment in Less Developed Countries," American Economic Review,1969:59(1):138-148; and Sjaastad LA. "The Costs and Returns of Human Migration," Journal of Political Economy,1962:70(5):80-93.
6. Wallerstein M. The Modern World-System I: Capitalist Agriculture and the Origins of the European World-Economy in the Sixteenth Century (New York: Academic Press, 1974); Frank AG. "The Development of Underdevelopment," Monthly Review,1966:18(4):17-31.
7. For foundational texts, see Universal Declaration of Human Rights, G.A. Res. 217A (III), U.N. Doc. A/810 at 71 (1948); International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Dec. 16, 1966, 999 U.N.T.S. 171.
8. DiMaggio PJ, Powell WW. "The Iron Cage Revisited: Institutional Isomorphism and Collective Rationality in Organizational Fields," American Sociological Review,1983:48(2):147-160.
9. Peri G. "The Effect of Immigration on Productivity: Evidence from U.S. States," Review of Economics and Statistics,2012:94(1):348-358; Peri G, Sparber C. "Task Specialization, Immigration, and Wages," American Economic Journal: Applied Economics,2009:1(3):135-169.

10. ILO Convention No. 97 concerning Migration for Employment (Revised), 1949; ILO Convention No. 143 concerning Migrations in Abusive Conditions and the Promotion of Equality of Opportunity and Treatment of Migrant Workers, 1975.
11. Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, art. 45 (freedom of movement for workers); ECOWAS Protocol on Free Movement of Persons, Residence and Establishment, May 29, 1979.
12. Immigration and Refugee Protection Act, S.C. 2001, c. 27 (Can.); Citizenship and Immigration Canada, Express Entry System (implemented 2015).
13. Singapore Ministry of Manpower. "Work Pass Framework" (2023), establishing Employment Pass, S Pass, and Work Permit tiers with differentiated rights and requirements
14. For historical analysis, see Chin R. The Guest Worker Question in Postwar Germany (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007).
15. For critical analysis, see Babar Z. "The Cost of Belonging: Citizenship Construction in the State of Qatar," Middle East Journal,2014:68(3):403-420; Migrant-Rights.org, "The Kafala System Explained" (2022).
16. Lorenzo *et al*. "Nurse Migration from a Source Country Perspective: Philippine Country Case Study," Health Services Research,2007:42(3):1406-1418.
17. International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families, G.A. Res. 45/158, U.N. Doc. A/RES/45/158 (Dec. 18, 1990). As of 2024, 59 states are parties to the Convention, primarily countries of origin.
18. Adopted at the Intergovernmental Conference to Adopt the Global Compact for Safe, Orderly and Regular Migration, Marrakech, Morocco (Dec. 10-11, 2018). 152 UN Member States voted to endorse the compact.
19. For analysis of this phenomenon, see Anderson B. Us and Them? The Dangerous Politics of Immigration Control (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013); Sharma N. "On Being Not Canadian: The Social Organization of 'Migrant Workers' in Canada," Canadian Review of Sociology,2001:38(4):415-439.
20. ILO. "ILO Monitor: COVID-19 and the World of Work" (7th ed., 2021), documenting unprecedented disruptions to migrant workers including mass returns, job losses, and remittance declines.