International Journal of Law
www.lawjournals.org
ISSN: 2455-2194
Received: 29-07-2026, Accepted: 28-08-2026, Published: 12-09-2026
Volume 12, Issue 3, 2026, Page No. 430-434

Limitations of local self government institutions in advancing Sdg 3: A Study of healthcare delivery in India

Akshara Sadan
Assistant Professor & Research Scholar, Bharata Mata School of Legal Studies, Mahatma Gandhi University, Aluva, Kerala, India
DOI: https://doi.org/10.66856/ijl.2026.12.3.12285

Abstract
Sustainable Development Goal 3 (SDG 3) seeks to ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages. In India, Local Self-Government Institutions (LSGIs) serve as important mechanisms for decentralised governance and grassroots healthcare delivery. The 73rd and 74th Constitutional Amendments have strengthened the role of local bodies in addressing public health and welfare needs. Nevertheless, the effective contribution of LSGIs towards achieving SDG 3 is constrained by several institutional and operational limitations. This paper examines the major challenges faced by LSGIs in delivering accessible, affordable, equitable, and quality healthcare services in India. It focuses on financial constraints, inadequate healthcare infrastructure, shortages of trained healthcare personnel, limited technical capacity, administrative inefficiencies, overlapping functions, bureaucratic delays, and insufficient coordination between local, state, and central authorities. These challenges affect the capacity of LSGIs to effectively address key SDG 3 targets, including universal health coverage, maternal and child health, disease prevention, and equitable access to essential healthcare services. The study adopts a doctrinal and analytical methodology based on constitutional provisions, legislation, government policies, official reports, and relevant scholarly literature. It argues that strengthening the financial autonomy, institutional capacity, infrastructure, human resources, and inter-governmental coordination of LSGIs is essential for improving grassroots healthcare delivery and accelerating India's progress towards SDG 3.

Keywords: Local self-government institutions, SDG 3, healthcare delivery, decentralisation, public health

Introduction

Local Self-Government Institutions in India, primarily comprising Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs) in rural areas and Urban Local Bodies (ULBs) in urban areas, were established with the intent to decentralize governance and empower local communities. Healthcare is one of the critical sectors that fall within the ambit of LSGIs, yet their performance in delivering quality healthcare services has often been criticized as insufficient.

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) outlined by the United Nations in 2015 emphasize the importance of local governance in achieving holistic development [1]. Goal 3 (Good Health and Well-Being) is crucial for ensuring equitable healthcare access to all, especially in rural and semi-urban areas. The SDGs focus on creating inclusive, resilient, and sustainable societies by addressing global challenges, including poverty, inequality, climate change, and health. SDG 3 specifically aims to "ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages." For India, where healthcare disparities between urban and rural areas are stark, the role of LSGIs is pivotal. However, multiple factors undermine their potential in contributing to these global goals. Despite their constitutionally mandated role in fostering grassroots democracy and local development, LSGIs face numerous institutional, financial, and operational challenges that limit their effectiveness in advancing healthcare goals aligned with SDG 3.

This paper critically evaluates the limitations of local self-government institutions in India concerning healthcare delivery. This assignment explores the underlying limitations of these institutions with respect to achieving the healthcare-related Sustainable Development Goals.

The Structure and Role of Local Self-Government Institutions in India in Promoting Public Health

India's local self-government system operates under a three-tier structure in rural areas and a two-tier structure in urban areas. The 73rd [2] and 74th [3] Constitutional Amendments of 1992 laid the foundation for the establishment of Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs) and Urban Local Bodies (ULBs), respectively. These constitutional reforms sought to decentralize governance and devolve various functions to local authorities, including healthcare, education, water supply, sanitation, and other essential public services. In the healthcare sector, LSGIs have an important role in addressing local health needs and ensuring access to basic healthcare services.

One of the primary responsibilities of LSGIs is to provide basic healthcare services to the local population. They are involved in ensuring the availability and accessibility of primary healthcare facilities, managing local health centres, and responding to the specific health needs of communities. Through these institutions, healthcare services can be planned and delivered based on the social, economic, and health conditions of the local population. LSGIs are also responsible for managing and maintaining health infrastructure**.** In rural areas, Panchayati Raj Institutions may be entrusted with functions relating to health and sanitation, including hospitals, Primary Health Centres and dispensaries, while in urban areas, Municipalities may be entrusted with functions relating to public health, sanitation and related healthcare services [4]. Adequate infrastructure is essential for ensuring quality healthcare and improving the accessibility of health services, particularly for vulnerable and marginalized sections of society. Another significant

responsibility of LSGIs is coordinating and implementing State and Central Government health programmes**.** Local bodies play an important role in implementing initiatives such as the National Health Mission (NHM), Ayushman Bharat, immunization programmes, and other public health schemes [5]. Their close connection with local communities enables them to identify beneficiaries, facilitate access to government programmes, and monitor the implementation of health initiatives at the grassroots level.

LSGIs also have an important role in promoting public health and preventive healthcare**.** Their responsibilities include improving sanitation, ensuring access to clean drinking water, implementing preventive health measures, and creating awareness regarding maternal and child health, immunization, hygiene, nutrition, and communicable diseases. These functions contribute significantly to improving community health and preventing diseases before they require advanced medical intervention.

Limitations of Local Self Government Institutions in Healthcare Delivery

Institutional Weaknesses

One of the primary challenges LSGIs face in delivering healthcare is related to their institutional capacity [6]. Key aspects include:

- **Lack of Administrative Autonomy:** Although LSGIs are empowered constitutionally, the delegation of powers is often incomplete. State governments retain significant control over decision-making, limiting the autonomy of LSGIs in health-related matters [7].

- **Weak Organizational Structures:** Many LSGIs, especially in rural areas, lack robust organizational structures to efficiently manage health services. This includes inadequate staffing, poor leadership, and a lack of accountability mechanisms.

- **Overlapping Jurisdictions:** The division of responsibilities between LSGIs, state health departments, and other local authorities often leads to confusion, duplication of efforts, and inefficiencies in healthcare delivery. The lack of clear demarcation of roles hampers effective service provision.

Financial Constraints

Financial challenges severely undermine the ability of LSGIs to deliver quality healthcare services. Key factors include:

- **Insufficient Funds:** While LSGIs have the constitutional mandate to deliver healthcare services, they are often underfunded. The transfer of funds from higher levels of government is frequently delayed or insufficient, limiting their ability to invest in healthcare infrastructure, personnel, and services.

- **Overdependence on Grants:** Most LSGIs rely heavily on grants from state and central governments. This dependency reduces their financial autonomy and makes them vulnerable to political shifts and bureaucratic delays, which can disrupt healthcare services.

- **Limited Revenue Generation:** LSGIs have limited capacity to generate their own revenues through local taxes or fees. This constraint forces them to rely on external funding, which is often inadequate for their needs, particularly in healthcare sectors that require substantial investment in infrastructure, equipment, and personnel.

Human Resource Shortages

The shortage of trained healthcare professionals is a significant barrier to effective healthcare delivery in LSGIs. Challenges include:

- **Inadequate Health Workforce:** Many local health facilities are severely understaffed. Primary healthcare centers, especially in rural areas, often operate with minimal personnel, affecting the quality and accessibility of healthcare services.

- **Lack of Capacity Building:** Continuous training and capacity building for health workers is critical for ensuring the quality of services. However, many LSGIs lack the resources to provide adequate training and capacity building, leading to poorly equipped health staff [8].

- **High Attrition Rates:** Healthcare professionals, particularly in rural areas, face challenging working conditions, lack of infrastructure, and limited incentives, resulting in high attrition rates. The migration of doctors and nurses to urban centers exacerbates the shortage of qualified personnel in rural LSGIs [9].

Infrastructural Deficiencies

Healthcare infrastructure in local areas is often inadequate to meet the healthcare needs of the population. Key concerns include:

- **Dilapidated Health Facilities:** Many health centers under LSGI control suffer from poor maintenance, lack of equipment, and inadequate medical supplies. This severely hampers their ability to provide timely and effective healthcare services [10].

- **Inaccessibility of Healthcare Centers:** In many rural areas, health centers are located far from the populations they serve. This distance, combined with inadequate transportation facilities, makes it difficult for residents to access healthcare services in a timely manner.

- **Lack of Digital Health Infrastructure:** The adoption of digital health solutions such as telemedicine, electronic health records, and mobile health services remains limited. LSGIs have not yet been able to fully capitalize on these technologies due to lack of funds, training, and infrastructure [11].

Political and Bureaucratic Challenges

Political interference and bureaucratic inefficiencies further complicate the ability of LSGIs to provide quality healthcare services.

- **Politicization of Local Governance:** In many instances, the functioning of LSGIs is influenced by political considerations rather than public health needs. Political leaders may prioritize certain areas or projects based on electoral considerations, leading to uneven healthcare service delivery.

- **Bureaucratic Delays:** Complex bureaucratic procedures often delay the approval and disbursement of funds for healthcare projects. These delays can result in unfinished infrastructure projects, lack of medical supplies, and disruption of healthcare services [12].

- **Limited Coordination with Higher Authorities:** Coordination between LSGIs and state or central health authorities is often weak, leading to gaps in service provision. This lack of integration can result in inefficiencies, duplication of efforts, and missed opportunities for improving healthcare outcomes [13].

Inequality in Healthcare Access

Healthcare delivery through LSGIs is also marked by significant inequalities, particularly in terms of access to services.

- **Rural-Urban Divide:** Healthcare infrastructure in rural areas lags far behind that in urban centers, leading to disparities in access to healthcare services [14]. LSGIs in rural areas often struggle to provide even basic healthcare services, whereas their urban counterparts may have better resources and facilities.

- **Social Inequities:** Vulnerable groups, including women, children, Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes, and economically disadvantaged populations, often face barriers to accessing healthcare services provided by LSGIs [15]. These barriers may be due to discrimination, lack of awareness, or geographic inaccessibility.

Lack of Proper Legal Framework

The absence of a legal framework also constrains the ability of LSG institutions to contribute to the broader implementation of SDGs. While SDGs are global targets, their success depends on local adaptation and action. LSGs are instrumental in tailoring these goals to meet the specific needs of local communities. However, without legal backing, they struggle to incorporate SDG targets into local development plans, monitor progress, or enforce sustainable practices.

Additionally, the lack of a legal framework hinders LSGs from forming partnerships with the private sector, non-governmental organizations, and international agencies, which are critical for mobilizing resources and expertise [16]. This limits the capacity of LSGs to innovate, scale up successful interventions, and engage communities in sustainable development efforts. In the absence of such partnerships and resources, the potential for achieving SDGs, particularly in the health sector, is significantly reduced.

Impact of These Limitations on Sdg 3 (Good Health and Well-Being)

The limitations of Local Self-Government Institutions (LSGIs) in delivering healthcare services significantly impede India's progress towards achieving Sustainable Development Goal 3 (SDG 3), which aims to ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages. Inadequate financial resources, weak institutional capacity, poor healthcare infrastructure, and administrative inefficiencies reduce the effectiveness of local governments in implementing health programmes and providing essential healthcare services, particularly in rural and marginalized regions.

One of the most significant consequences of these limitations is inadequate health coverage. Many LSGIs are unable to ensure universal access to quality healthcare services due to shortages of healthcare facilities, medical personnel, medicines, and financial resources. This is especially evident in rural, remote, and underserved areas where access to primary healthcare remains limited. Consequently, the objective of achieving Universal Health Coverage (UHC) under SDG Target 3.8 is substantially affected, leaving vulnerable populations without timely and affordable healthcare [17].

The shortcomings of LSGIs also adversely affect maternal and child health outcomes. Inadequate healthcare infrastructure, insufficient availability of skilled healthcare professionals, and limited implementation of maternal and child welfare programmes contribute to preventable maternal and infant mortality [18]. Deficiencies in antenatal care, postnatal services, immunization coverage, and nutritional interventions hinder progress towards achieving the maternal and child health targets envisaged under SDG 3.

Another major challenge is the weak healthcare infrastructure managed at the local level. Many Primary Health Centres, Sub-Centres, and community healthcare facilities continue to suffer from inadequate physical infrastructure, shortages of essential medicines and medical equipment, and insufficient human resources. These deficiencies limit the ability of LSGIs to effectively prevent, detect, and manage communicable and non-communicable diseases, thereby slowing progress towards improving overall public health and reducing preventable illnesses.

Furthermore, the persistent disparity in healthcare access between urban and rural areas, as well as among different socio-economic groups, undermines the principle of health equity that underpins the Sustainable Development Goals. Marginalized communities, including economically weaker sections, Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes, women, children, elderly persons, and persons with disabilities, often experience unequal access to quality healthcare services. Such inequalities contradict the fundamental SDG commitment to "leave no one behind" and highlight the need for stronger local governance, equitable resource allocation, and inclusive healthcare planning [19].

Recommendations for Improving Healthcare Delivery by Local Self Government Institutions

To overcome the limitations in healthcare delivery and contribute effectively to SDG 3, LSGIs must undertake several reforms:

- **Strengthening Institutional Capacities:** LSGIs need to be empowered with greater administrative autonomy and better governance structures. Clearer demarcation of roles and responsibilities between different government levels can reduce inefficiencies and improve healthcare service delivery [20].

- **Ensuring Financial Sustainability:** Increasing financial allocations to LSGIs, along with allowing them more autonomy in generating local revenue, is essential for improving healthcare services [21]. Funds should be disbursed in a timely manner, and LSGIs

should be provided with more flexibility in budget utilization.

- **Investing in Human Resources:** Addressing the shortage of healthcare professionals through better incentives, training, and recruitment is critical [22]. LSGIs should focus on improving working conditions and providing continuous professional development for healthcare workers.

- **Upgrading Health Infrastructure:** Investments in healthcare infrastructure, including upgrading primary health centers and sub-centers, are essential for expanding access to healthcare services in underserved areas. The adoption of digital health technologies can also enhance the efficiency and reach of healthcare services [23].

- **Enhancing Political and Bureaucratic Accountability:** Reducing political interference and streamlining bureaucratic procedures will improve the efficiency and transparency of healthcare service delivery by LSGIs [24]. Strengthening coordination mechanisms between LSGIs and higher authorities can facilitate better implementation of healthcare policies.

- **Promoting Health Equity:** LSGIs should prioritize healthcare access for marginalized and vulnerable populations to address social inequalities. Special programs targeting women, children, and disadvantaged groups can help reduce health disparities.

Conclusion

Local Self-Government Institutions play a critical role in advancing healthcare delivery at the grassroots level in India. However, their ability to meet the healthcare-related Sustainable Development Goals, particularly SDG 3, is hampered by institutional, financial, and infrastructural limitations. Addressing these challenges requires a multi-pronged approach that includes strengthening institutional capacities, increasing financial autonomy, investing in healthcare infrastructure, and ensuring equitable access to healthcare services. In addition, effective decentralization of healthcare functions must be accompanied by adequate financial devolution and clearly defined administrative responsibilities to enable Local Self-Government Institutions to discharge their constitutional obligations efficiently. Strengthening coordination among local governments, State health departments, and community-based organizations is essential for improving the planning, implementation, and monitoring of public health programmes. Leveraging digital health technologies, robust health information systems, and data-driven decision-making can further enhance the quality, accessibility, and accountability of healthcare services at the local level. Furthermore, active community participation through Gram Sabhas, Ward Committees, and local health committees can ensure that healthcare interventions are responsive to local needs and contribute to the realization of universal health coverage under SDG 3. Only then can LSGIs effectively contribute to achieving the SDGs and improving the health and well-being of all citizens in India.

References

1. United Nations. Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, U.N. Doc. A/RES/70/1, 2015.
2. Constitution (Seventy-Third Amendment) Act, 1992, No. 73, Acts of Parliament, 1992 (India).
3. Constitution (Seventy-Third Amendment) Act, 1992, No. 73, Acts of Parliament, 1992 (India).
4. INDIA CONST. art. 243G, sch. XI (including “health and sanitation, including hospitals, primary health centres and dispensaries” among matters that may be entrusted to Panchayats); Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Government of India. Health Dynamics of India (Infrastructure & Human Resources) 2022–23, 2024.
5. Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Government of India. National Health Mission, https://nhm.gov.in/
6. NDIA CONST. art. 243G; Ministry of Panchayati Raj, Government of India. Devolution Index Report 2021–22: Status of Devolution to Panchayats in States, 2023.
7. INDIA CONST. arts. 243G, 243W; Ministry of Panchayati Raj, Government of India. Devolution Index Report 2021–22: Status of Devolution to Panchayats in States, 2023.
8. NITI AAYOG. Effectiveness of Panchayati Raj Institutions in Health Sector, 2018.
9. NITI AAYOG. Closing Human Resources Gap in Health: Moving Beyond Production to Proactive Recruitment, 2022.
10. Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Government of India. Health Dynamics of India (Infrastructure & Human Resources) 2022–23, 2024.
11. Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Government of India. National Digital Health Mission: Health ID—Building a Digital Health Ecosystem for India, 2020.
12. World Bank. India: Improving the Effectiveness of Public Expenditure in Health, 2010.
13. NITI AAYOG. Health Systems for a New India: Building Blocks for Universal Health Coverage, 2019.
14. National Statistical Office, Ministry of Statistics & Programme Implementation, Government of India. Health in India, 2023.
15. World Health Organization. World Health Report 2008: Primary Health Care—Now More Than Ever, 2008.
16. Second Administrative Reforms Commission. Local Governance: An Inspiring Journey into the Future, 6th Rep., 2007.
17. World Health Organization. Universal Health Coverage (UHC), SDG Target 3.8, https://www.who.int/data/gho/data/themes/theme-details/GHO/universal-health-coverage
18. United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF). Levels & Trends in Child Mortality: Report 2024, 2024.
19. United Nations. Leaving No One Behind: Equality and Non-Discrimination at the Heart of Sustainable Development, 2016.
20. Second Administrative Reforms Commission. Local Governance: An Inspiring Journey into the Future, 6th Rep. (Gov’t of India), 2007.

21. Finance Commission. Fifteenth Finance Commission Report for 2021–26, Vol. I (Gov't of India), 2020.
22. International Labour Organization. Care Work and Care Jobs for the Future of Decent Work, 2018.
23. World Health Organization, United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). A Vision for Primary Health Care in the 21st Century: Towards Universal Health Coverage and the Sustainable Development Goals, 2018.
24. Second Administrative Reforms Commission. Ethics in Governance, 4th Rep. (Gov't of India), 2007.