



## The efficacy of probation and parole in criminal justice system

Dr. Ashwani Kumar Gupta

Principal, TRC Law College Barabanki, Uttar Pradesh, India

### Abstract

Instead than depending only on jail, the criminal justice system incorporates probation and parole, which promote rehabilitation, monitoring, and reintegration. In contrast to parole, which entails the conditional release of a prison inmate prior to the completion of their sentence, subject to ongoing supervision, probation is a court-ordered punishment that permits an offender to stay in the community under particular conditions rather than serving a jail term. They are distinct in their legal foundation, application timeline, and administrative oversight, but they share their objective of rehabilitating criminals and safeguarding society. Reducing recidivism, alleviating prison congestion, and promoting social reintegration via organized monitoring and rehabilitative treatments are the three main goals of probation and parole. These steps promote a more compassionate and economically viable method of criminal justice by dealing with the root causes of criminal conduct while keeping offenders connected to their communities. The key to their success, however, is a combination of evidence-based supervisory procedures, sufficient resources, and efficient execution. In general, parole and probation are progressive measures that help create a fair and adaptable criminal justice system by balancing responsibility and change.

**Keywords:** Probation, parole, justice, rehabilitation, supervision, recidivism, corrections

### Introduction

Academics, lawyers, and public policymakers have long argued over whether or not probation and parole are effective components of our criminal justice system. To ensure responsibility, rehabilitation, and public safety, these two community-based correctional procedures aim to oversee criminals outside of jail. Probation and parole are alternatives to jail or methods for conditional release that aim to decrease correctional expenditures, overcrowding in prisons, and facilitate offenders' reintegration into society. The key to their success is striking a balance between various forms of assistance and monitoring, between punishment and reform, and between individual rehabilitation and community protection.

As an alternative to incarceration, the court may decide to place an offender on probation, which allows them to live in the community but with certain restrictions. However, parole allows a criminal to be released from jail before their term is finished, but only under particular conditions, such as continuing monitoring and adhering to certain restrictions. Both systems show how justice is evolving away from being solely punishing and toward being more restorative and rehabilitative. In an effort to address the underlying socioeconomic issues that often lead to criminal activity, probation and parole programs aim to keep offenders connected to their families, jobs, and communities.

The reduction of recidivism is a key indicator of the success of parole and probation programs. Offenders who successfully complete post-release supervision programs, such as probation or parole, have a lower recidivism rate than those who serve entire prison terms without such programs. This finding is supported by research from a number of jurisdictions. Offenders may learn self-control and legal habits with the support of structured monitoring, frequent reporting, and behavioral requirements like drug addiction treatment or counseling. during their most effective, these policies keep criminals under constant

supervision during a time when they are most likely to reoffend.

Probation and parole programs are most successful when they focus on rehabilitation. Programs for education, vocational training, counseling, and drug addiction treatment are common corrective interventions in these systems. Community supervision has the potential to promote personal growth and social reintegration by dealing with the underlying issues that contribute to criminal conduct. Offenders have a better chance of becoming contributing members of society if they acquire job skills, increase their educational attainment, or get mental health assistance. As such, parole and probation are not only means of policing but also of rehabilitating society.

The monetary benefits of alternatives to jail, such as probation and parole, outweigh the costs. It is more cost-effective to keep criminals under supervision in the community rather than in prisons. Governments may redirect savings from prison costs to victim services, community development projects, and preventative programs. Offenders on parole or probation are able to keep working and providing for their family, which lessens the financial and social impact of incarceration. This cost-effectiveness provides further support for expanding the use of community-based penalties.

These benefits notwithstanding, there are obstacles to the effectiveness of parole and probation. The level of monitoring and personalized attention provided by probation and parole officials is sometimes compromised due to their heavy caseloads. The ability of these institutions to rehabilitate might be diminished due to limited funding, inadequate training, and poor collaboration with social service organizations. Additionally, revocation and re-incarceration may occur from technical infractions rather than new criminal charges due to uneven enforcement or too stringent requirements. These results have the potential to derail efforts to reduce incarceration rates and increase reintegration.

Evaluating parole and probation programs with an eye on public safety is essential. These strategies work well for first-time offenders and those who commit nonviolent offenses, but they need to be carefully evaluated for severe or recurrent offenders. Decisions about an offender's supervision and treatments have been enhanced via the use of evidence-based techniques like risk-needs-responsivity models. Public safety may be improved and needless limitations reduced via probation and parole when monitoring is reasonable and based on facts.

### Review Of Literature

Chauhan, Aarti. (2025) <sup>[5]</sup> This research looks at how the Probation of Offenders Act of 1958, the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita of 2023, and the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita of 2023 all fit into the new criminal justice system in India. Community service, structured supervision, and reparative requirements are some of the non-custodial measures that have been included into correctional policy as a result of the legislative move away from only custodial penalties and toward community-based alternatives. This study examines the potential of these measures to reduce prison congestion without compromising victim protection or due process using a combination of doctrinal and interpretive analysis. While the Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023 establishes crucial privacy protections for data management in community prisons, the Bharatiya Sakshya Adhinyam is evaluated for its evidential function in certifying electronic supervision records. By standardising timetables, circumstances, and recall procedures, procedural accountability has been reinforced by judicial and administrative reforms, such as judgments from the Supreme Court and High Court. For well-rounded change, the study incorporates victimological factors with criminological ones, such as restorative justice, proportionality, and rehabilitation. The results highlight the need for victim-centered protections, digital data compliance, and legislation frameworks that harmonize constitutional clemency powers. The paper concludes by arguing for a data-governed probation and parole system on a national level in India. This system would improve openness, strengthen the integrity of monitoring, and provide community service as a legitimate, restorative option to incarceration.

Khan, Muhammad *et al.*, (2024) <sup>[2]</sup> An examination of the efficacy of Pakistan's probation system and community correctional services offered during probation was the primary aim of the research. The study's primary emphasis is on the function of the probation system in helping ex-offenders become productive members of society again. Inmates serving probation in Pakistani prisons make up the study's universe. This research relies on survey data. This led to the implementation of the multi-stage sampling strategy. So, using basic random selection procedures, seven districts have been chosen. The use of a proportional sampling strategy led to the finalization of 210 probationers. The research found that probation officers' monitoring is associated with probationers' recovery. Officers' contributions to probationers' rehabilitation and the probationers' own behavioral changes over the probationary term are indicators of this interaction.

Khokhar, Javed *et al.*, (2023) <sup>[3]</sup> Rehabilitating and reintegrating low-risk offenders into society, culture, and

family life is the goal of the probation system, which is an integral aspect of criminal justice administration. Conditional release from prison under the supervision of a probation officer is one of the benefits of the probation system. The results of this empirical study demonstrated how significant and beneficial the probation system is for both probationers and the system as a whole. Probationers from 10 districts of Punjab province who had prior incarceration records were the main subjects of the data collection. Probationers who had previously served their sentences said that the program had a beneficial effect on their physical, mental, and social lives, according to data gathered via a standardized questionnaire. In the field of criminal justice administration, the probation system has shown to be a successful means of rehabilitating ex-offenders. Instead of building more jails, people detained for petty crimes may be freed on probation orders.

Abbasi, Saif-Ur-Rehman. (2015) <sup>[4]</sup> An integral part of the criminal justice system, the probation system helps ex-offenders readjust to society. Offender rehabilitation and the efficacy of Pakistan's probation system were the foci of this research. From 10 districts in Punjab province, 511 probationers were chosen at random to participate in the survey. A strong correlation between probation officer supervision and probationers' recovery was found in the study's results. Rehabilitating probationers with sufficient self-esteem was seen a big accomplishment by the probation system. Therefore, probation should be an option for offenders with minor offenses, and officials should undergo professional training to help reintegrate convicts into society.

Ghosh, Sabyasachi. (2008) <sup>[1]</sup> Since the commission of a crime is the product of a complex interplay of numerous causes, including, but not limited to, a person's socioeconomic status, modern criminal law holds that criminals are created rather than born. Therefore, in an effort to limit crime, contemporary laws seek to change offenders. The purpose of this article is to provide light on the jurisprudential and economic reasoning behind parole and probation as alternatives to incarceration. The author would examine the current state of affairs through the lens of new ideas such as juvenile justice administration in relation to international treaties such as the "Beijing Rules" and similar publications. The primary emphasis would be a comparative comparison of India's prison reformatory system.

### Meaning of Probation

Probare, meaning "to test" or "to prove," is the Latin root of the English term probation. It is an alternate kind of punishment that does not include incarceration. If it is determined that the criminal would be better off free and supervised by probation officials rather than locked away, then the offender might be released into the community.

The Probation of Offender Act of 1958 and the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1973 are the primary legislative documents in India that address probation. Section 562 of the Criminal Procedure Code of 1898 originally provided for probation. Section 360 now provides the clause after many revisions. Prior to the 1973 amendments to the CrPC, which included several elements not covered by the CrPC, the Probation of Offenders Act was passed by the Indian Parliament in 1958.

### Code of Criminal Procedure

Two sections of the Criminal Procedure Code (CrPC), 360 and 361, address probation. The provisions in the Probation of Offenders Act or the Children Act, 1960 or any similar statute are not affected by the requirements in Section 360 and 361, as stated specifically in Section 360(10).

### Release on probation of good conduct

The part of the Code of Criminal Procedure that addresses probation is Section 360(1). If, as stated in this Section,

1. A person who is not yet twenty-one years old who is found guilty of a felony punishable by a fine or seven years in jail,
2. Any female convicted of a non-life threatening crime who has not been convicted before, or any individual under the age of twenty-one,
3. Upon appearing before the court, the criminal may be granted bail with the condition that they pledge to behave well, post a bond with or without sureties, and complete their sentence within the specified time frame if the court so orders.

A person in their twenties who has committed a horrific crime like rape cannot be given probation based on good behavior, according to the Supreme Court's decision in *Phul Singh v. State of Haryana* (1979).

### Release after admonition

In accordance with Article 360(3), the following requirements must be met before the criminal may be released

1. The criminal's record is free of prior convictions.
2. The crime for which he was found guilty includes theft, theft in a building, dishonest misappropriation, or any offense punished by a fine merely or a maximum of two years in jail according to the Indian Penal Code.

A person who has used explosives to create communal conflict cannot be subject to this clause, according to the Court's ruling in *Ahmed v. State of Rajasthan* (2000).

### Special Reasons For Not Granting Probation

It is required under Section 361 of the Criminal Procedure Code, the Probation of Offenders Act, the Children's Act, or any similar statute that, in the event that the court decides not to grant probation to the offender, the court's decision must specifically explain why.

### Probation of Offenders Act, 1958

The provisions of this Act pertaining to admonition-based release and probation for good behavior are identical to those of Section 360 of the Criminal Procedure Code (CrPC).

If the court thinks it is appropriate, it might order the perpetrator to compensate the victim for his losses, injuries, or even the expense of the legal processes under Section 5 of the Act.

Offenders under the age of 21 are addressed under Section 6 of the Act. The following is stated in this Section:

When deciding whether to apply Section 3 or Section 4 of the Act to a juvenile offender, the court must first determine which section applies. It is necessary for the court to request the probation officer's report for that.

- The court may determine whether the rules apply after obtaining the report.
- whether the court decides against granting probation, it must clearly explain why.
- Confidentiality of the probation officer's report is required under Section 7 of the Act.

When dealing with juvenile offenders, just a report of this kind is required.

### Meaning Of Parole

Words like "parole" and "je donne ma parole"—"I give my word"—are borrowed from French. Parole, like probation, gives the ex-con a second chance at a better life. But parole is a kind of release that may only be used by criminals who are currently serving their time in jail.

The Indian Prisons Act of 1894 and the Prisoners Act of 1900 lay forth the regulations for parole. Since each Indian state has the authority to establish its own parole rules, the federal government does not impose a consistent system across the country. From one state to the next, there are small changes to the parole requirements.

### Refusal of parole

Offenders who are not eligible for parole include

- They are not Indian citizens.
- Found guilty of offenses that endanger the nation's security.
- Found guilty of state-sponsored offenses.
- Disobedience to jail regulations.

### Types of parole

Two primary forms of parole exist: ordinary parole and detention parole.

### Custody parole

Another name for custody parole is emergency parole. In the event of a death in the immediate family (e.g., grandparents, parents, siblings, children, spouse), or in celebration of a family member's marriage (e.g., a son's or daughter's), it is given for a period of fourteen days.

### Regular parole

Offenders often given regular parole after serving a year or more of their sentence are typically allowed a maximum of one month. Reasons comparable to the following are given for its grant

- Because someone in your family is really sick.
- A family member's untimely death or serious injury.
- After the childbirth of the prisoner's wife.
- To keep in touch with loved ones.
- In the event that a natural disaster has caused significant harm to his family's life and possessions.
- In order to petition for extraordinary leave.

### Distinction Between Probation and Parole

1. Offenders who are released into the community under supervision, rather than being incarcerated, are awarded probation. On the other hand, parole is a kind of conditional release that imposes certain rules on a prisoner for the duration of their release.
2. The Probation of Offenders Act and the Code of Criminal Procedure regulate probation in India. However, a clear and consistent set of parole

requirements has eluded us. Parole rules vary throughout the country since state governments are empowered to provide them, even if this is acknowledged by the Prisons Act and Prisoners Act.

3. The sentence handed down by the court to those found guilty is known as probation. At the same time, parole is only a plan for the temporary release of incarcerated individuals.
4. Instead of incarceration, the alternative form of punishment is probation, although parole is provided while incarcerated. A parole hearing is not a substitute for incarceration.
5. The court issues a probationary sentence. Judgmental in character is probation. In most cases, the District Magistrate or the Deputy Secretary of the State's Ministry of Home decides on parole in India. In most cases, parole operates on a quasi-judicial basis.
6. The offender is awarded probation prior to their incarceration, and parole is granted upon the completion of a minimum required time of incarceration.
7. Offenders with a history of incarceration or conviction are not eligible for probation. Sentencing criminals to jail terms often results in parole being granted.
8. A specific term of jail time is imposed against a formerly freed criminal who fails to comply with the requirements of probation. A parolee's initial sentence applies if he or she reoffends by failing to comply with the terms of their release.
9. An offender's journey through the penitentiary system begins with probation. However, parole is the last step after a time of punishment for the criminal.
10. Since a person on probation is not condemned to jail, there is less stigma associated with them. But a parolee would encounter prejudice in the community after he is freed from jail.

### Conclusion

A contemporary and well-rounded criminal justice system must include probation and parole since it provides a positive alternative to over-reliance on imprisonment. Supervising offenders in the community while also urging them to modify their conduct, take responsibility for themselves, and reintegrate into society is the key to their success. These systems enable people to keep in touch with family, work, and the society, which lessens the negative effects of incarceration and opens doors to legal and productive life. Quality supervision, accessibility to rehabilitation resources, and the use of evidence-based procedures are crucial to the overall effectiveness of parole and probation. When community-based supervision is well-backed by skilled staff, reasonable caseloads, and integrated social services, it may greatly decrease recidivism and improve public safety. On the other hand, if there aren't enough funds or if the circumstances are too harsh, their rehabilitation potential may be diminished, and revocations might be unwarranted. A more compassionate, efficient, and socially sensitive criminal justice system is possible in the long run by enhancing parole and probation systems. Further improvements may be achieved by ongoing legislative change, investment in community services, and a focus on customized risk assessment. Therefore, parole and probation are not only alternatives to jail, but crucial tools

for bringing about fairness, responsibility, and long-term decreases in crime.

### References

1. Ghosh Sabyasachi. Probation and Parole as Methods of Mainstreaming Criminals: A Socio-Legal Analysis from Indian Perspective. SSRN Electronic Journal, 2008. 10.2139/ssrn.1151026.
2. Khan Muhammad, Anwar Maqsood, Afzal Afzaal. Effectiveness of Probation System and Community Correctional Services in Pakistan. *Current Trends in Law and Society*,2024;4:11-18. 10.52131/ctls.2024.0401.0028.
3. Khokhar Javed, Arshad Muhammad, Afzal Afzaal. Perceived Effectiveness of Probation System under Pakistani Criminal Justice Administration: Evidence-based Study from Parole & Probation Department, Punjab, Pakistan. *Qlantic Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*,2023;4:108-115. 10.55737/qjssh.573685100.
4. Abbasi, Saif-Ur-Rehman. Association between effectiveness of probation system and rehabilitation of offenders in the Punjab, Pakistan, 2015, 155-163.
5. Chauhan, Aarti. Probation And Parole: Effectiveness as Alternatives to Imprisonment. *International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research*, 2025, 7. 10.36948/ijfmr.2025.v07i06.60361.
6. Schaefer Lacey, Williams Gemma. The Impact of Probation and Parole Officers' Attitudes about Offenders on Professional Practices. *Corrections*,2018;5:1-18. 10.1080/23774657.2018.1538710.
7. Khan Malik, Masood Muhammad, Author), Dr. evaluating the effectiveness of probation services: a multidimensional analysis of rehabilitation outcomes, societal stigma, and crime reduction trends. *Qualitative Research Journal for Social Studies*,2025;2:1554-1561. 10.63878/qrjs206.
8. Purwani, Marhaeni Saefudin, Wahyu, Nasirudin, Budiyanto Sigit. Role of Probation and Parole Officers in Legal Reform in Indonesia: Addressing Overcrowding in Prisons and Detention Centers. *Restorative: Journal of Indonesian Probation and Parole System*, 2024, 2. 10.61682/restorative.v2i2.21.
9. Andersen Lars, Wildeman Christopher. Measuring the Effect of Probation and Parole Officers on Labor Market Outcomes and Recidivism. *Journal of Quantitative Criminology*, 2014, 31. 10.1007/s10940-014-9243-4.
10. Smith Andrew, Heyes Kim, Fox Chris, Harrison Jordan, Kiss Zsolt. The effectiveness of probation supervision towards reducing reoffending: A Rapid Evidence Assessment. *Probation Journal*, 2018, 65. 026455051879627. 10.1177/0264550518796275.
11. Khokhar Javed, Jafri Dr, Abbas Nadeem, Bhutta Mazhar, Mehr Pir, Shah Ali, *et al.* probation, criminal justice system and rehabilitation of offenders: case of punjab province,2019;31:385-390.
12. Joseph Biju, MI Shilpa. Beyond Incarceration:: Optimising Probation Use in India Through Legislative Reform and International Best Practices. *Asian Journal of Human Services*,2025;28:351-377. 10.14391/ajhs.28.351.

13. Morash Merry, Kashy Deborah, Smith Sandi, Cobbina Jennifer. The Effects of Probation or Parole Agent Relationship Style and Women Offenders' Criminogenic Needs on Offenders' Responses to Supervision Interactions. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 2014; 42: 412-434. 10.1177/0093854814551602.
14. Raza Kashif, Kazmi Syeda, Zaidi Syed, Bibi Ruqqiyya, Batool Arifa. probation parole officers and community services: a closer look at punjab's reintegration efforts, 2024, 2.
15. Khokhar Javed, Abbasi Saif-Ur-Rehman, Jafri Dr. Association between effectiveness of probation system and rehabilitation of offenders in the Punjab, Pakistan, 2015.
16. Pappozzi Mario, Demichele Matthew. Probation and Parole: Overworked, Misunderstood, and Under-Appreciated: But Why?. *The Howard Journal of Criminal Justice*, 2008; 47: 275-296. 10.1111/j.1468-2311.2008.00522.x.
17. Kuldysheva Gulsara, Shapaeva Venera, Suranbaev Kanatbek, Batyrbaev Baktybek, Osmanova Almaz. Analysis of penal enforcement systems in the context of probation: International experience and practice of implementation. *International E-Journal of Criminal Sciences*, 2025. 10.1387/inecs.27337.
18. Asangausung Okoro. The Nexus Between Parole, Probation, and Community-Based Corrections in Nigeria, 2024. 10.13140/RG.2.2.22601.35683.