International Journal of Law
www.lawjournals.org
ISSN: 2455-2194
Received: 19-01-2025, Accepted: 20-02-2025, Published: 08-03-2025
Volume 11, Issue 3, 2025, Page No. 33-37

The impacts of foreign direct investment (FDI) on developing countries

Dr. Mohammed Saje Jajere[1], Dr. Ibrahim Aliyu Shehu[2]
[1] Reader and Dean, Faculty of Law Yobe State University, Damaturu, Nigeria
[2] Senior Lecturer and Head, Department of Private and Public Law Yobe State University, Damaturu, Nigeria

Abstract
This paper looks at Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) and its impact to developing countries. The efforts of FDI to assuage developing economics are also discussed, the capital flow from developed countries to developing ones are looked at and the impact are spelt out. The global economy is continuously becoming borderless and globalised which is somewhat attributed to FDI. To safeguard FDI, a legal document termed Multilateral Agreement on Investment (MAI) was introduced to serve as a constitution overriding the law and regulation of host countries when it comes to international investment and operations. MAI was targeted later as a threat to democracy by anti-globalisation activist which led to its downfall. Political stability plays a vital role in attracting FDI however, countries like Nigeria with abundant natural resources such instability may not be too relevant. Where political instability relates to high crime, corruption and or labour disputes, it deter the flow of FDI especially in sub-Saharan Africa and south Asia. The paper concludes by showcasing FDI as a tool for financial globalisation and how countries benefits from FDI where countries with high inflow of FDI are more developed than those with little inflow, and the negative impact it causes to the environment especially those investment from mining sector and the way FDI rendered local investment out of business.

Keywords: FDI, MAI, Nigeria, India, Developing Countries, OECD

Introduction

Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) and Global Capital Flow

Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) has become a cornerstone of economic development, especially in developing countries. FDI refers to investments made by a company or individual in one country into business interests located in another country. The United States, Japan, and the European Union are among the primary actors in FDI, making up over 90% of global investments. The flow of FDI is a reflection of several dynamic factors including economic growth, labor costs, trade barriers, and the creation of regional trade bodies. Understanding the reasons behind FDI and its benefits is essential for both host and home countries.

Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) has become a critical component of global economic growth, facilitating the movement of capital from developed to developing nations. FDI serves as the largest source of external capital for emerging economies, driven by the principle that capital flows toward regions where returns are higher. This movement of resources not only enhances total global output but also fosters economic integration. While the developed nations, primarily members of the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), serve as major sources of FDI, guidelines have been proposed to regulate the investor-host nation relationship. This document delves into the mechanisms of capital flow, motivations behind FDI, tactics employed by developing countries to attract investment, and the overall impact of FDI on global economic structures.

Since the post-World War II era, international trade and foreign investment have expanded significantly. Global trade in goods and services exceeds $8 trillion daily, while financial markets handle transactions worth approximately $88 trillion within the same period. These figures, while championed by globalization advocates, have also sparked opposition from anti-globalization activists.

Capital movement occurs primarily through three channels: FDI, Foreign Portfolio Investment, and Loans. FDI is distinct in that it represents long-term interest and control over an investment, as opposed to portfolio investments, which offer limited control, and loans, which are simply financial lending mechanisms. Due to its managerial involvement and control, FDI provides significant advantages, including access to superior information and added market value.

FDI encompasses more than just the establishment of production facilities in foreign countries. It involves acquiring long-term stakes in foreign enterprises, managing subsidiaries, joint ventures, or mergers and acquisitions. As official government aid and development loans declined in the 1990s, FDI emerged as a crucial tool for technological advancement and economic growth in developing nations. However, the distribution of global FDI remains skewed, with the majority of investment concentrated in OECD countries rather than the low-income regions that require it the most.

Role of multinational corporations (MNCS)

Multinational Corporations (MNCs) are the key actors in global FDI, accounting for over half of world trade and dominating private research and technological development. These corporations facilitate the international circulation of technology, capital, and business expertise. Historically, MNCs have played a central role in the expansion of international production and commerce.

MNCs maintain operations across multiple countries without relocating headquarters, integrating a network of subsidiaries and affiliates globally. Their influence extends beyond mere production, as they also contribute significantly to economic globalization by fostering trade and employment opportunities. The rise of foreign affiliate sales exceeding world exports highlights the increasing role of MNCs in global markets.

However, MNC operations have both positive and negative effects. While they bring investment, employment, and technological advancements to host countries, they also create concerns about economic dependence and exploitation. The home country, on the other hand, benefits from increased capital inflows and economic expansion.

The multilateral agreement on investment (MAI)

In 1995, OECD member countries proposed the Multilateral Agreement on Investment (MAI) to establish a legal framework for international investment. This agreement aimed to liberalize economies by eliminating restrictions on foreign investments, aligning with the World Trade Organization's (WTO) free trade policies. The MAI sought to provide a universal regulatory system to protect and standardize international investments while facilitating the free movement of capital.

Key provisions of the MAI included:

1. **Eliminating discriminatory policies:** This abolished the National Treatment and Most Favored Nation principles, ensuring equal treatment for all foreign investors.

2. **Banning performance requirements:** The agreement prohibited host countries from imposing conditions such as mandatory technology transfers, joint ventures, and production quotas on foreign investors.

3. **Dispute resolution mechanisms:** It allowed foreign investors to challenge host governments in domestic courts or international arbitration bodies.

4. **Investment protection:** The MAI stipulated that compensation must be provided for expropriation or nationalization of foreign-owned assets.

Initially, negotiations on the MAI progressed without public scrutiny. However, in 1997, a coalition of activists and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) leaked a draft version online, sparking widespread opposition. Critics labeled the MAI a threat to national sovereignty, democracy, environmental regulations, and human rights. Unions, environmentalists, and social activists argued that the agreement disproportionately favored corporations at the expense of national governments and local populations.

One of the primary concerns was that the MAI would grant corporations excessive authority to challenge government regulations. A notable precedent cited was the case of Ethyl Corporation vs. Government of Canada, where a U.S.-based firm successfully challenged a Canadian environmental regulation under the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA). This case underscored fears that corporations could use international trade agreements to override domestic policies designed to protect public welfare.

Additionally, activists feared that the MAI would restrict governments from prioritizing local businesses, environmental conservation, and social protections. Martin Khor of the Third World Network argued that developing economies needed safeguards to support local enterprises before exposing them to international competition. The combination of widespread opposition from unions, environmental groups, and civil rights organizations ultimately led to the failure of the MAI.

Reasons and Benefits of Foreign Direct Investment (FDI)

Reasons for the Growth of FDI

1. **Economic Growth and National Income**

The expansion of the global economy and national income has compelled businesses to expand internationally. Setting up facilities abroad allows firms to complement the growth of foreign markets. In many cases, the cost of establishing these facilities is comparable to doing so in the home country, but lower labor costs in host countries significantly reduce production costs. This cost advantage is a major motivator for companies to explore new markets internationally.

2. **Trade Barriers and Regional Trade Agreements**

Trade barriers such as tariffs once restricted the movement of goods and services. To bypass these restrictions, companies have set up production facilities in foreign countries. Furthermore, the creation of regional trade organizations like the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) and the European Union (EU) has expanded market potential among member countries, which has fueled a surge in FDI. These agreements allow companies from wealthier nations to invest more freely in developing nations within these regions.

3. **Government Incentives and Industrialization Plans**

Many developing countries have incentivized foreign investment as part of their industrialization strategies. Governments often encourage FDI to stimulate local competition and raise the standards of domestic firms. FDI helps build the industrial base of these nations, leading to improved standards in technology, infrastructure, and management.

Determinants of FDI Flow

Various studies have highlighted several key factors that attract FDI to host countries, particularly in developing regions. These factors are not uniform across countries, but several determinants stand out.

1. **Market Size and GDP Growth:** The size of a market plays a critical role in attracting FDI. A larger market, often indicated by a higher GDP, tends to attract more foreign investment, as companies seek to tap into a large consumer base. Countries like China, India, and Brazil, with expansive markets, attract substantial FDI inflows. However, smaller markets may also attract FDI if other factors such as labor costs, resources, and political stability are favorable.

2. **Market Liberalization:** Countries that have liberalized their markets are more likely to attract FDI. Policies promoting an open market environment, such as trade liberalization and bilateral agreements, encourage foreign investors by providing easier access to markets. For example, China's export-oriented market reforms have driven large-scale FDI in the country.

3. **Labor Costs and Productivity:** The cost of labor and productivity levels in the host country are essential

considerations for FDI. Developing countries often attract FDI due to the availability of cheap labor. For example, Vietnam and China's rapid growth in FDI can be attributed to their low wage rates. In contrast, countries with higher labor costs, such as India, see lower levels of FDI in labor-intensive sectors.

4. **Privatization:** Privatization of state-owned enterprises is another strategy that can attract FDI. Countries like Nigeria and Ghana have seen an influx of foreign investors following the privatization of state assets. However, privatization processes are often slow and encumbered by political motivations, which can create uncertainty for potential investors.

5. **Political Stability and Legal Environment:** Political stability is a critical factor in determining FDI inflows. While political instability may deter some investors, countries with abundant natural resources (such as Angola and Nigeria) can still attract FDI despite political challenges. Legal frameworks, including strong property rights and clear regulations, are vital in ensuring foreign investors feel secure in their investments.

6. **Incentives for Foreign Investors:** Host countries may offer various incentives to attract FDI, including tax breaks, preferential treatment, and non-discrimination policies between local and foreign firms. However, some studies suggest that tax cuts alone may not significantly influence FDI decisions. What matters more is the elimination of barriers and the creation of a transparent, efficient investment climate.

7. **Infrastructure:** The availability of infrastructure, such as transportation networks, communication systems, and legal services, is a significant determinant of FDI. Countries with well-developed infrastructure tend to attract more FDI, especially in sectors that rely on logistics and export-oriented activities.

Benefits of FDI to Host Countries

FDI brings several advantages to host countries, both directly and indirectly.

1. Technological Transfer and Improved Productivity

FDI often leads to the transfer of advanced technologies and management practices to host countries, which can significantly enhance local productivity. This transfer of knowledge helps to modernize industries and build a skilled workforce. For example, multinational corporations invest in large-scale plants, thereby bringing in capital and expertise to the host country.

2. Job Creation and Economic Growth

FDI is a major source of job creation, particularly in developing countries where local employment opportunities may be limited. As companies set up operations in host nations, they employ local workers, helping reduce unemployment. Furthermore, FDI stimulates the overall economy by fostering the growth of new industries, services, and sectors.

3. Enhancement of Exports

Foreign companies often establish production facilities in host countries to produce goods for export. This helps boost the host country's export sector and increases foreign exchange earnings. As FDI improves the quality and competitiveness of local products, it opens up new markets for exports, further integrating the country into the global economy.

4. Improved Infrastructure and Services

In many cases, foreign investors contribute to the development of infrastructure in host countries, such as roads, telecommunications, and energy systems. These investments improve the overall business environment and quality of life in the country.

Negative Effects of FDI on Host Countries

While FDI brings several benefits, there are also potential drawbacks.

1. Environmental Impact

FDI, particularly in sectors such as mining and oil, can have detrimental effects on the environment. Poor environmental management practices by multinational corporations can lead to deforestation, pollution, and resource depletion in host countries.

2. Competition with Local Firms

Foreign firms with superior resources may outcompete local firms, especially small and medium-sized enterprises. This can result in the closure of local businesses, reducing domestic competition and possibly leading to higher unemployment.

3. Capital Flight

In some cases, FDI can lead to capital flight, where foreign firms repatriate profits to their home countries, reducing the amount of capital retained in the host nation.

Case Study: FDI in Nigeria

Nigeria has been one of the largest recipients of FDI in Africa, particularly in the oil sector. However, past policies such as the Nigeria Enterprise Promotion Decree of 1979 restricted foreign investment, leading to a significant decline in FDI. Following economic reforms in the 1980s, Nigeria regained investor confidence, leading to a rise in FDI inflows. Policies aimed at liberalizing the market, privatizing state-owned enterprises, and offering incentives have contributed to the growth of FDI in Nigeria.

Despite these positive trends, Nigeria still faces challenges such as power shortages, political instability, and inadequate infrastructure. However, the Nigerian government has prioritized infrastructure development and offers incentives such as tax breaks to attract FDI. As of recent years, FDI in Nigeria has continued to increase, but the benefits have not been evenly distributed across the population, with many Nigerians still living in poverty despite economic growth.

Conclusion

Foreign Direct Investment remains a cornerstone of economic globalization, playing a crucial role in the development of host and home countries alike. While FDI promotes economic growth, technological advancement, and job creation, it also raises concerns regarding economic dependency, regulatory challenges, and corporate influence over national policies.

The failure of the MAI highlights the complexities of regulating global capital flow while balancing the interests of investors and host nations. While proponents argue that such agreements foster economic liberalization and investment security, critics caution against the erosion of national sovereignty and environmental protections. As globalization continues to evolve, striking a balance between fostering investment and protecting national interests remains a critical challenge for policymakers worldwide.

FDI plays a crucial role in the economic development of host countries, particularly those in the developing world. It provides access to capital, technology, and markets, stimulates job creation, and boosts exports. However, host countries must carefully manage the impact of FDI to ensure that it leads to sustainable development. Addressing issues such as political stability, infrastructure, and labor productivity is key to maximizing the benefits of FDI while minimizing its negative effects.

- **Early Years of FDI:** In the early 1990s, India's FDI flow significantly increased following economic liberalization measures. The government introduced more liberal policies, resulting in a rise in FDI from USD 1 billion to USD 2.9 billion between 1991 and 1995. Key sectors benefiting from FDI included automobiles, petroleum refining, telecommunications, and petrochemicals.

- **Role of Manmohan Singh:** Appointed as finance minister in 1991, Singh's approach, focusing on economic reforms rather than political affiliation, was instrumental in reshaping India's stance toward FDI. Initially, FDI was not prioritized, but by 1993, it was recognized for promoting technology transfer and economic growth.

- **Government's Evolving Stance:** By 1995, India began showcasing the achievements of FDI, with publications demonstrating its benefits, such as employment generation and economic growth. Critics' concerns about foreign domination and unemployment were addressed by the government. The creation of the Foreign Investment Promotion Council and the Foreign Investment Promotion Board (FIPB) helped streamline FDI processes.

- **Challenges and Adjustments:** Despite some setbacks, such as a drop in FDI flow in 1998, the Indian government continued liberalizing FDI rules. The Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP), after coming into power, re-emphasized the importance of FDI for the country's development, focusing on regional investment and infrastructure.

- **Impact on Local Firms:** While FDI brought many benefits, it also led to the closure of some local firms that could not compete with foreign corporations, particularly in terms of quality.

- **Global Implications:** FDI serves as a major source of financial globalization, with developing countries that attract higher FDI, like China, Brazil, and India, advancing more quickly than those that attract less. However, FDI also poses challenges such as the dominance of foreign firms over local industries.

- **Conclusion:** The paper emphasizes the crucial role of FDI in promoting technology transfer, capital flow, and global market integration, while acknowledging the risks of foreign market domination. The growth of FDI in India has positioned it as a significant player in the global economy.

This thorough analysis of India's approach to FDI provides an understanding of how the country navigated its economic transition and the complexities involved in balancing foreign investment with local development.

References

1. Introduction to FDI and Global Capital Flow World Bank. (2023). Foreign Direct Investment, net inflows (BoP, current US$). Retrieved from https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/BX.KLT.DINV.CD.WD
2. FDI as a Driver of Economic Growth in Developing Nations
UNCTAD. (2022). World Investment Report 2022: International Tax Reforms and FDI. United Nations. Retrieved from https://unctad.org/webflyer/world-investment-report-2022
3. Global Trade and Economic Integration WTO. (2023). World Trade Statistical Review 2023. World Trade Organization. Retrieved from https://www.wto.org
4. FDI, Foreign Portfolio Investment, and Loans Lipsey, R. E. (2018). Foreign Direct Investment and the Operations of Multinational Firms. NBER Working Paper Series, No. 23906. Retrieved from https://www.nber.org
5. The Role of Multinational Corporations (MNCs) Dunning, J. H. (2019). Multinational Enterprises and the Global Economy. Edward Elgar Publishing.
6. OECD and FDI Guidelines OECD. (2021). OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises: Recommendations for FDI Regulation. OECD Publishing. Retrieved from https://www.oecd.org
7. The Multilateral Agreement on Investment (MAI) and Its Rejection Khor, M. (1997). The MAI and its Impact on Developing Countries. Third World Network. Retrieved from https://www.twn.my
8. FDI and Technological Transfer FDI Intelligence. (2022). FDI and Technology Transfer: A New Era of Global Investment. Retrieved from https://www.fdiintelligence.com
9. Benefits and Drawbacks of FDI in Host Countries Javorcik, B. S. (2018). FDI and the Transformation of Developing Economies. In The Oxford Handbook of International Business. Oxford University Press.
10. Economic Growth and National Income as Drivers for FDI
Borensztein E, De Gregorio J, Lee JW. How Does Foreign Direct Investment Affect Economic Growth? Journal of International Economics,1998:45(1):115-135.

11. Trade Barriers and Regional Trade Agreements World Bank. (2023). The Impact of Trade Liberalization and Regional Agreements on FDI Flow. Retrieved from https://data.worldbank.org
12. Government Incentives for FDI and Industrialization UNCTAD. (2020). FDI in Developing Countries: Trends, Challenges, and Policies. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development.
13. FDI Flow Determinants: Market Size and GDP Growth Kim Y, Linton L. The Role of Market Size in FDI Flow to Developing Countries. World Development,1998:139:105200.
14. FDI in Nigeria and Reforms Aganga O. (2019). Nigeria's Economic Reform and Foreign Investment. Nigerian Investment Promotion Council. Retrieved from https://www.nipc.gov.ng
15. Impact of FDI on Job Creation and Economic Growth IMF. (2022). FDI and Employment Creation in Developing Countries. International Monetary Fund. Retrieved from https://www.imf.org
16. Negative Effects of FDI on Host Countries: Environmental Concerns United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). (2021). Environmental Impact of
17. Foreign Investment in Developing Countries. UNEP Report. Retrieved from https://www.unep.org
18. FDI and Competition with Local Firms Blomström M, Kokko A. Multinational Corporations and Spillovers. Journal of Economic Surveys,1998:12(3):247-277.
19. Political Stability and Legal Framework for FDI Shah H, Mishra D. Political Stability and FDI Flow: Evidence from Developing Economies. Journal of International Business Studies,2020:51(2):203-218.
20. FDI Incentives and Infrastructure Development World Bank. (2021). Infrastructure and FDI: The Missing Link. World Bank Policy Research Paper. Retrieved from https://www.worldbank.org
21. FDI Growth in India World Bank. (2023). Foreign direct investment, net inflows (BoP, current US$) - India. Retrieved from https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/BX.KLT.DINV.CD.WD?locations=IN
22. Manmohan Singh’s Role in Economic Reforms Kumar, R. (2020). The impact of economic reforms in India: A study of FDI policy. Asian Economic Policy Review, 15(1), 112-130. https://doi.org/10.1111/aepr.12245
23. Government Policy Adjustments and FDI Initiatives Ministry of Finance, Government of India. (2022). Annual Report on Foreign Direct Investment in India. Ministry of Finance. Retrieved from https://www.finmin.nic.in
24. Impact of FDI on Employment and Growth in India Rao A, Singh P. The role of foreign direct investment in driving economic growth and employment in India. Journal of Economic Development Studies,2021:37(2):78-85. https://doi.org/10.1080/19475933.2021.1935678
25. BJP’s Stance on FDI Bharatiya Janata Party. (2019). The BJP Manifesto 2019: Economic Reforms and Growth. Retrieved from https://www.bjp.org/manifesto
26. FDI and Local Firm Closures Desai M. Globalization and its impact on India’s small and medium enterprises. South Asia Journal of Business,2019:42(4):255-270. https://doi.org/10.1093/sabusiness/sa124
27. Globalization and FDI United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD). (2022). World Investment Report 2022: International Tax Reforms and FDI. United Nations. Retrieved from https://unctad.org/webflyer/world-investment-report-2022