



AI for preventing & reducing traditional crimes

Dr. Ujwala Bendale¹, Dr. Anuradha Girme², Utpal Gharde³

¹ Dean and Principal-in-Charge, Department of Law, New Law College, Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be) University, Pune, Maharashtra, India

² Professor, Department of Law, New Law College, Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be) University, Pune, Maharashtra, India

³ Department of Law, New Law College, Bharati Vidyapeeth Deemed to be University, Pune. LLB, ILS Law College, Pune. M. Tech. (Industrial Engineering & Technology), IIT Kharapur, India

Abstract

As law enforcement agencies increasingly turn to Artificial Intelligence (AI) for preventing traditional crimes, it is crucial to understand the associated risks and identify strategies to mitigate them. This article gives an overview of the various applications of AI in prevention of traditional crimes, such as predictive analytics, video surveillance, and pattern recognition. It, then, examines the potential risks inherent in the use of AI, including biases in data and algorithms, threats to privacy, and unintended consequences for marginalized communities. Drawing from scholarly literature and real-world examples, the article offers a comprehensive analysis of these risks and proposes mitigation strategies. These strategies encompass measures to enhance transparency, accountability, and fairness in AI systems, as well as mechanisms for community engagement. By highlighting the risks associated with AI in preventing traditional crimes and providing actionable mitigation strategies, this article aims to support informed decision-making and responsible deployment of AI technologies in law enforcement.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence, AI, traditional crimes, crime prevention, mitigation strategies, predictive policing, pattern recognition, ethics, privacy, bias, transparency, accountability, collaboration.

Introduction

The use of AI technologies in crime prevention strategies represents a significant advancement in law enforcement efforts to combat traditional crimes such as theft, burglary, assault, and vandalism. The ability to process and analyse heap of data, detect patterns, and predict criminal behaviour gives immense potential to AI for reducing crime rates. The widespread adoption of AI in this field also exposes challenges that must be carefully resolved to ensure ethical, effective, and equitable implementation of AI.

In this article, we examine the various ways by which AI is can replace the rudimentary reactive approach of crime prevention with proactive AI based strategies. It also examines the challenges associated with the use of AI for preventing traditional crimes and propose mitigation strategies to address them. From issues related to data quality and bias in AI algorithms to concerns about privacy, ethics, and societal impacts, we explore multifaceted complexities inherent in leveraging AI for crime prevention. By examining these challenges and offering practical solutions for mitigation, we aim to provide insights to harness the full potential of AI while upholding fundamental principles of ethics and protecting individual rights.

Through a comprehensive exploration of the challenges and mitigation strategies surrounding the use of AI for preventing traditional crimes, this article aims to contribute to responsible AI deployment in law enforcement and promote collaborative efforts towards building safer society.

Research methodology

The research for this article is composed of a combination of qualitative and quantitative analysis. Authors engaged in review of existing literature, conducting a comprehensive review of articles, books and online resources pertaining to the use of AI in traditional crimes. This examination helped

in identification of key trends, patterns, and insights surrounding the topic. To strengthen the credibility of our findings, secondary data were sourced from authentic journals specializing in AI and from the works of international agencies. By combining both qualitative and quantitative approaches, this article is an attempt to provide a robust understanding of the challenges associated with AI in crime prevention.

Understanding the challenges

There are several challenges in using AI for prevention of traditional crimes.

Data quality and Bias in AI algorithms

Biased datasets can cause the AI system to produce discriminatory or unfair outcomes. This bias can arise from various sources, including historical biases in data collection, sampling errors, and societal prejudices embedded in the data. For example, if historical crime data reflects systemic biases in law enforcement practices, such as over-policing in certain localities or profiling based on race or ethnicity, AI algorithms trained on such data may amplify these biases. This can result in disproportionate surveillance, profiling, or enforcement actions against specific groups of society ^[1].

Implications for fairness and effectiveness in crime prevention

Algorithmic bias in AI systems used for crime prevention can have detrimental effects on fairness, effectiveness, and public trust in law enforcement. Biased algorithms may disproportionately target certain communities or individuals, leading to unequal treatment and violations of civil rights. Moreover, biased predictions or decisions by AI systems may result in ineffective or counterproductive outcomes,

such as misallocating resources, exacerbating social inequalities, or eroding community trust in law enforcement [2]. Addressing bias in AI algorithms is important to ensure fairness, equity, and effectiveness in crime prevention efforts [3].

Risks of extensive surveillance and data collection

Extensive surveillance and data collection practices, particularly when powered by AI technologies, raise significant privacy concerns and pose risks to individual rights and civil liberties [4]. The widespread deployment of surveillance cameras, facial recognition systems, and other monitoring technologies can result in pervasive monitoring of public spaces and private activities, leading to a loss of privacy and anonymity [5]. Moreover, the collection of vast amounts of personal data, including biometric information, location data, and online activity, raises concerns about unauthorized access, data breaches, and misuse by government agencies or third parties [6,7].

Balancing privacy rights with public safety goals

Balancing privacy rights with public safety goals presents a complex ethical and policy challenge. Government surveillance and crime prevention efforts must be balanced against the fundamental right to privacy and individual autonomy [8]. Striking the right balance requires careful consideration of legal frameworks, ethical principles, and societal values, as well as transparency and accountability mechanisms to ensure oversight and accountability.

Potential for aggravating social inequalities

The use of AI for preventing traditional crimes has the potential to aggravate social inequalities due to various factors such as biased algorithms, unequal access to technology, and differential impacts on marginalized communities. Biased algorithms may perpetuate existing societal biases, leading to disproportionate surveillance, enforcement actions, or resource allocation in certain neighbourhoods or demographic groups. Additionally, marginalized communities, including low-income individuals and racial minorities, may face barriers to accessing AI-driven crime prevention technologies, further widening existing disparities in access to justice and protection [9].

Ensuring equitable access and outcomes in AI-driven crime prevention

Ensuring equitable access and outcomes in AI-driven crime prevention requires proactive measures to address barriers to access, mitigate algorithmic biases, and promote fairness and transparency in law enforcement practices. Policymakers, law enforcement agencies, and technology developers must prioritize equity considerations in the design, implementation, and evaluation of AI systems for crime prevention. This includes efforts to enhance data transparency, promote community engagement, and provide adequate safeguards to protect the rights of all individuals, particularly those from marginalized or vulnerable communities.

Mitigation strategies

Several strategies can be employed to resolve the challenges associated with use of AI for prevention of traditional crimes.

Enhancing data collection methods and standards

Improving data collection methods and standards is essential to enhance the quality and reliability of datasets used in AI-driven crime prevention [10]. This involves adopting rigorous data collection protocols, ensuring data accuracy, completeness, and representativeness, and minimizing biases in the data [11]. Utilizing diverse sources of data, including structured and unstructured data, and incorporating feedback mechanisms from stakeholders can help capture a comprehensive view of crime-related phenomena [12]. By enhancing data collection methods and standards, law enforcement agencies can improve the accuracy and effectiveness of AI algorithms in predicting and preventing traditional crimes [13].

Implementing transparency measures in AI algorithms

Transparency in AI algorithms is crucial for ensuring accountability, fairness, and trustworthiness in crime prevention practices [14]. Implementing transparency measures involves disclosing information about the data used to train AI algorithms, the underlying algorithms' logic and decision-making processes, and the potential biases or limitations of the AI system [15]. Providing explanations for AI-generated predictions or decisions, known as explainable AI (XAI), can help stakeholders understand how AI algorithms work and evaluate their reliability and accuracy [16]. By promoting transparency in AI algorithms, law enforcement agencies can enhance public trust, facilitate oversight, and address concerns about algorithmic accountability and fairness [17].

Algorithmic bias detection and mitigation techniques

Detecting and mitigating bias in AI algorithms is crucial to ensure fair and equitable outcomes in crime prevention efforts [18]. Various techniques have been developed to identify and address bias in AI systems, including pre-processing methods, fairness-aware algorithms, and post-processing techniques [19]. Pre-processing methods involve analysing and cleaning the training data to identify and remove noises, biased patterns or outliers [20]. Fairness-aware algorithms incorporate fairness constraints or objectives into the optimization process to mitigate bias during model training [21]. Post-processing techniques involve adjusting the outputs of AI models to achieve fairness and equity, such as through re-weighting or calibration methods [22]. By employing these bias detection and mitigation techniques, AI developers can enhance the fairness and effectiveness of crime prevention algorithms [23].

Promoting diversity and inclusivity in AI development

Promoting diversity and inclusivity in AI development is essential for addressing bias and ensuring that AI systems serve the needs of diverse populations. This involves diversifying the workforce in AI research and development to incorporate perspectives from different demographic groups and cultural backgrounds. Additionally, it entails collecting diverse and representative datasets that encompass a wide range of demographic characteristics, ensuring that AI algorithms are trained on inclusive data that reflect the diversity of society [24]. By promoting diversity and inclusivity in AI development, researchers can mitigate bias, enhance algorithmic fairness, and improve the effectiveness of crime prevention technologies for all individuals, regardless of race, ethnicity, gender, or socioeconomic status [25].

Establishing clear guidelines for data use and retention

Establishing clear guidelines for data use and retention is essential to safeguard individual privacy rights and ensure responsible data governance practices in AI-driven crime prevention efforts ^[26]. These guidelines should outline the purposes for which data can be collected, processed, and retained, as well as the legal and ethical principles governing its use ^[27]. Additionally, they should specify the duration for which data can be retained, the security measures to protect against unauthorized access or disclosure, and the mechanisms for obtaining consent and respecting individual privacy preferences. By establishing clear guidelines for data use and retention, policymakers and organizations can promote transparency, accountability, and trust in AI-driven crime prevention initiatives ^[28].

Incorporating privacy-preserving techniques in AI systems

Incorporating privacy-preserving techniques in AI systems is essential to protect sensitive personal data and uphold privacy rights in crime prevention applications ^[29, 30]. These techniques encompass various approaches, including differential privacy, homomorphic encryption, and federated learning, which aim to enable data analysis while preserving individual privacy ^[31]. For example, differential privacy techniques add noise to data to prevent the identification of individual records, ensuring that statistical analyses do not reveal sensitive information about individuals ^[32]. Similarly, homomorphic encryption allows operations to be performed on encrypted data without the need to decrypt it, thus, preserving privacy ^[33]. By incorporating privacy-preserving techniques in AI systems, developers can resolve the risks of data breaches, unauthorized access, and privacy violations ^[34].

Strengthening regulatory frameworks for AI in law enforcement

Regulatory frameworks may include laws, policies, and guidelines that govern the development, deployment, and oversight of AI systems used by law enforcement agencies ^[35]. These frameworks should consider various aspects such as data protection, algorithmic transparency, and accountability ^[36]. By establishing clear regulations and standards for AI in law enforcement, policymakers can provide guidance to agencies, promote accountability, and mitigate the risks of abuse or misuse of AI technologies ^[37].

Establishing mechanisms for independent review and audit

Independent review and audit of AI systems used in law enforcement is critical to ensure transparency, accountability, and oversight. It involves conducting regular assessments of AI algorithms, systems, and practices by external experts or oversight bodies to evaluate their compliance with legal, ethical, and operational standards. These mechanisms may include audits of AI algorithms for bias and fairness, assessments of data protection and privacy safeguards, and evaluations of the impact of AI technologies on human rights and civil liberties ^[38]. By providing independent scrutiny and oversight, review and audit mechanisms help identify and address issues, build public trust, and uphold accountability in AI-driven crime prevention efforts.

Case studies and examples

The case studies of AI applications in preventing traditional crimes demonstrate the potential of AI to enhance law enforcement efforts and improve public safety. These case studies highlight innovative approaches and technologies that leverage AI to analyse data, detect patterns, and predict criminal behaviour.

Case study 1: Predictive policing systems

Predictive policing systems use AI algorithms to analyse historical crime data, demographic information, and other relevant factors to identify high-risk localities and ascertain the occurrence of specific type of crimes. Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) uses the PredPol system, which employs machine learning algorithms to generate daily hotspot maps indicating localities with heightened risk of certain crimes, enabling efficient resource allocation ^[39].

Case study 2: Facial recognition technology

The facial recognition techniques used by law enforcement agencies help to locate missing persons, identify suspects, and enhance security at public events. The Metropolitan Police Service in London used facial recognition systems to scan crowds for individuals during the Notting Hill Carnival ^[40].

Case study 3: Gunshot detection systems

Gunshot detection systems use AI-powered acoustic sensors to detect and locate gunshots in real-time, enabling law enforcement to respond quickly to incidents of gun violence. These systems analyse audio data to distinguish between gunshots and other loud noises, such as fireworks. ShotSpotter, one of the leading gunshot detection systems, is used by numerous police departments across the United States to zero-in on the area of gunfire and dispatch officers to the crime scene ^[41].

Success stories

Success stories and lessons learned from implementing mitigation strategies in AI-driven crime prevention initiatives provide valuable insights into the effectiveness of various approaches and the challenges encountered in addressing ethical, legal, and technical concerns. These stories illustrate how organizations have navigated complex issues and adapted their strategies to achieve positive outcomes.

Success story 1: Bias mitigation in predictive policing

The implementation of predictive policing over a period of 6 months resulted in a decrease in the number of burglaries in Santa Cruz, California ^[42]. In Kent, the AI based predictive policing software was successfully able to predict the street crime in 8.5 % of the cases as compared to 5% as predicted by traditional police analysts ^[43].

Success Story 2: Introducing Transparency & Accountability

The city of Portland, Oregon, introduced legislation requiring transparency and accountability measures for the use of facial recognition technology by law enforcement agencies. The legislation mandated public disclosure of policies, practices, and data related to facial recognition systems, as well as regular audits and oversight by an independent review board. These measures enhanced

transparency, accountability, and public trust in the use of facial recognition technology^[44].

Success Story 3

The Chicago Police Department implemented a community engagement strategy as part of its gunshot detection program^[45]. They conducted outreach initiatives, such as town hall meetings, neighbourhood forums, and surveys, to solicit feedback from residents and address concerns about privacy, surveillance, and accountability. This approach nurtures collaboration between law enforcement and the society, thereby reinforcing the public support for the program.

These success stories highlight the importance of proactive measures, stakeholder engagement, transparency, and accountability in addressing ethical and technical challenges associated with AI-driven crime prevention initiatives. By learning from these experiences, organizations can develop effective mitigation strategies and build trust with communities while leveraging AI technologies to enhance public safety.

Conclusion

The integration of AI technologies holds immense promise for preventing traditional crimes, revolutionizing law enforcement practices, and enhancing public safety. However, as explored in this article, the widespread adoption of AI in crime prevention also presents significant challenges that must be addressed to ensure ethical, effective, and equitable outcomes. From issues of data quality and algorithmic bias to concerns about privacy, transparency, and social inequality, the complexities inherent in leveraging AI for crime prevention require careful consideration and proactive mitigation strategies.

As we explore these challenges, it is imperative to draw lessons from real-world examples, success stories, and best practices in AI-driven crime prevention. By fostering collaboration between stakeholders, promoting transparency, accountability, and safeguarding individual rights, we can harness the full potential of AI while upholding fundamental ethical principles and societal values. Moreover, strengthening regulatory frameworks, establishing mechanisms for independent review and audit, and promoting diversity and inclusivity in AI development are essential steps towards building trust, resilience, and legitimacy in AI-driven crime prevention efforts.

It is also crucial to remain vigilant, adaptive, and committed to addressing the evolving challenges and opportunities that lie ahead. By adopting a holistic and proactive approach to AI-driven crime prevention, we can pave the way for a safer society for all.

References

1. Heaven WD. Predictive policing algorithms are racist. They need to be dismantled. MIT Technology Review, 2023. <https://www.technologyreview.com/2020/07/17/1005396/predictive-policing-algorithms-racist-dismantled-machine-learning-bias-criminal-justice/>
2. Farahani MS, Ghasemi G. Artificial Intelligence and Inequality: Challenges and Opportunities. Qeios, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.32388/7hwuz2>
3. Mattu JALK. *Machine Bias*. ProPublica, 2023. <https://www.propublica.org/article/machine-bias-risk-assessments-in-criminal-sentencing>
4. Kerry CF. Protecting privacy in an AI-driven world. Brookings, 2020. <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/protecting-privacy-in-an-ai-driven-world/>
5. Fontes AC, Hohma E, Corrigan CC, Lütge C. AI-powered public surveillance systems: why we (might) need them and how we want them. *Technology in Society*, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2022.102137>
6. Greenwald G. No place to hide: Edward Snowden, the NSA, and the U.S. surveillance state. Metropolitan Books, 2014.
7. Solove Daniel J. *Nothing to Hide: The False Tradeoff Between Privacy and Security* (full text of book) (May 31, 2011). Yale University Press (2011), Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3976770>
8. Daniel J Power, Ciara Heavin, Yvonne O'Connor. Balancing privacy rights and surveillance analytics: a decision process guide, *Journal of Business Analytics*, 2021;4:2:155-170, DOI: 10.1080/2573234X.2021.1920856
9. Shapiro Aaron. Review of Ferguson's *The Rise of Big Data Policing: Surveillance, Race, and the Future of Law Enforcement*. *Surveillance & Society*, 2018;16:123-126. 10.24908/ss.v16i1.7941.
10. Kusak M. Quality of data sets that feed AI and big data applications for law enforcement. *ERA Forum*, 2022;23:209–219. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12027-022-00719-4>
11. Aldoseri A, Al-Khalifa KN, Hamouda AM. Re-Thinking Data Strategy and Integration for Artificial Intelligence: Concepts, Opportunities, and Challenges. *Applied Sciences*, 2023;13(12):7082. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app13127082>
12. Ku Chih-Hao, Iriberry Alicia, Leroy Gondy. Natural language processing and e-government: Crime information extraction from heterogeneous data sources. *Proceedings of the 2008 International Conference on Digital Government Research*, 2008;289:162-170. 10.1145/1367832.1367862.
13. Shah N, Bhagat N, Shah M. Crime forecasting: a machine learning and computer vision approach to crime prediction and prevention. *Vis. Comput. Ind. Biomed. Art*, 2021;4:9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42492-021-00075-z>
14. Benneh Mensah, George. AI in the Legal System, Transparency, Interpretability and the Right to a Fair Trial: The Challenges and Implications for the Ghanaian Civil and Criminal Justice Systems, 2023. 10.13140/RG.2.2.14854.96324/1.
15. Felzmann H, Villaronga EF, Lutz C, Tamò-Larrieux A. Transparency you can trust: Transparency requirements for artificial intelligence between legal norms and contextual concerns. *Big Data & Society*, 2019, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951719860542>
16. Ali S, Abuhmed T, El-Sappagh S, Muhammad K, Alonso-Moral JM, Confalonieri R, *et al.* Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI): What we know and what is left to attain Trustworthy Artificial Intelligence.

- Information Fusion, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2023.101805>
17. Meterko V, Cooper G. Cognitive Biases in Criminal Case Evaluation: A Review of the Research. *J Police Crim Psych*,2022;37:101–122. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11896-020-09425-8>
 18. Bagaric Mirko, Svilar Jennifer, Bull Melissa, Hunter Dan, Stobbs Nigel. The Solution to the Pervasive Bias and Discrimination in the Criminal Justice: Transparent Artificial Intelligence. *American Criminal Law Review*, 2021, 59(1). Forthcoming, Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3795911>
 19. Ferrara E. Fairness and Bias in Artificial Intelligence: A Brief Survey of Sources, Impacts, and Mitigation Strategies. *Sci*,2024;6(1):3. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sci6010003>
 20. Felix EA, Lee SP. Systematic literature review of preprocessing techniques for imbalanced data. *IET Softw*,2019;13:479-496. <https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-sen.2018.5193>
 21. Ferrara C, Sellitto G, Ferrucci F, *et al.* Fairness-aware machine learning engineering: how far are we?. *Empir Software Eng*,2024;29:9. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10664-023-10402-y>
 22. Wan M, Zha D, Liu N, Zou N. In-Processing Modeling Techniques for Machine Learning Fairness: A Survey. *ACM Transactions on Knowledge Discovery from Data*, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3551390>
 23. Kleinberg J, Mullainathan S, Raghavan M. Inherent Trade-Offs in the Fair Determination of Risk Scores, 2016. *arXiv.org*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.05807>
 24. Roche C, Wall PJ, Lewis D. Ethics and diversity in artificial intelligence policies, strategies and initiatives. *AI Ethics*,2023;3:1095–1115. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-022-00218-9>
 25. Shams RA, Zowghi D, Bano M. AI and the quest for diversity and inclusion: a systematic literature review. *AI Ethics*, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-023-00362-w>
 26. Rayhan Rajan, Rayhan Shahana. AI and Human Rights: Balancing Innovation and Privacy in the Digital Age, 2023. 10.13140/RG.2.2.35394.56001.
 27. Deborah Wiltshire, Seraphim Alvanides. Ensuring the ethical use of big data: lessons from secure data access, *Heliyon*,2022;8(2):e08981, ISSN 2405-8440, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e08981>.
 28. European Commission. General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), 2018. Regulation - 2016/679 - EN - Gdpr - EUR-Lex, n.d.)
 29. Centre J. Artificial Intelligence: Impact on Right to Privacy. *Juris Centre*, 2023. <https://juriscentre.com/2023/09/12/artificial-intelligence-impact-on-right-to-privacy/>
 30. Torkzadehmahani R, Nasirigerdeh R, Blumenthal DB, *et al.* Privacy-Preserving Artificial Intelligence Techniques in Biomedicine. *Methods Inf Med*,2022;61(S01):e12-e27. doi:10.1055/s-0041-1740630
 31. Aziz R, Banerjee S, Bouzefrane S, Le Vinh T. Exploring Homomorphic Encryption and Differential Privacy Techniques towards Secure Federated Learning Paradigm. *Future Internet*,2023;15(9):310. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fi15090310>
 32. Vilhuber SCIDASL. 6 Designing Access with Differential Privacy | Handbook on Using Administrative Data for Research and Evidence-based Policy, 2021. <https://admindatahandbook.mit.edu/book/v1.0/diffpriv.html>
 33. Yang W, Wang S, Cui H, Tang Z, Li Y. A Review of Homomorphic Encryption for Privacy-Preserving Biometrics. *Sensors (Basel)*,2023;23(7):3566. Published 2023 Mar 29. doi:10.3390/s23073566
 34. Dwork C. Differential privacy: A survey of results. In *Theory and Applications of Models of Computation* (pp. 1-19). Springer, 2008.
 35. Thomas RJ. AI Law & Regulation: A Synopsis of the Global Perspective. *Medium*, 2023. <https://medium.com/version-1/ai-law-regulation-a-synopsis-of-the-global-perspective-8ad720c6bca2>
 36. Blacklaws C. Algorithms: transparency and accountability *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A*,2018;376:2017035120170351. <http://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2017.0351>
 37. European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA). Artificial Intelligence & Fundamental Rights: Opportunities and Risks, 2021. <https://fra.europa.eu/en/publication/2021/artificial-intelligence-fundamental-rights-opportunities-and-risks>
 38. Rodrigues Rowena. Legal and human rights issues of AI: Gaps, challenges and vulnerabilities. *Journal of Responsible Technology*,2020;4:100005. 10.1016/j.jrt.2020.100005.
 39. Predictive policing algorithm perpetuates racial profiling by LAPD - Daily Bruin. *Daily Bruin*, 2019. <https://dailybruin.com/2019/05/02/predictive-policing-algorithm-perpetuates-racial-profiling-by-lapd>
 40. Introna Lucas D, Nissenbaum Helen F. Facial Recognition Technology: A Survey of Policy and Implementation Issues. *Center for Catastrophe Preparedness and Response*, New York University, 2009. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=1437730>
 41. Braga AA, Papachristos AV, Hureau DM. The effects of hot spots policing on crime: An updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Justice Quarterly*,2014;31(4):633-663.
 42. Predictive Policing: Using Technology to Reduce Crime. *FBI: Law Enforcement Bulletin*, 2017. <https://leb.fbi.gov/articles/featured-articles/predictive-policing-using-technology-to-reduce-crime>
 43. Don't even think about it. *The Economist*, 2013. <https://www.economist.com/briefing/2013/07/20/dont-even-think-about-it>
 44. Matulionyte Rita. Transparency of Facial Recognition Technology and Trade Secrets, 2023. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=4409002> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4409002>
 45. Mayor Emanuel. Police Department Announce Completed Expansion of Smart Policing Technology to More of the South Side. (n.d.). https://www.chicago.gov/city/en/depts/mayor/press_room/press_releases/2018/january/SmartPolicingTechnology.html